



### FEATURES |

#### ***MPSC Issues Interconnection Report***

The Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”), on December 29, 2006, released its report on the Status of Interconnection of Telecommunications Providers. The Commission did not recommend any legislative changes in its report “because the Missoula Plan discussions at the FCC [on inter-carrier compensation] may lead to a comprehensive plan which might solve most provider to provider relationship problems.”

**Continued on page 2**

### IN THIS ISSUE:

- 1 Features
  - ♦ MPSC Issues Interconnection Report
  - ♦ MPSC Issues Annual CLEC Survey
- 3 Pending Legislation
- 4 Public Acts of 2005 & 2006
- 6 Orders issued by MPSC
- 8 Applications & Complaints
- 10 Opportunities to Comment
- 11 Notices of Hearings
- 11 MPSC Hearings Schedule
- 12 MPSC Meetings
- 13 MTR Subscriptions

Clark Hill PLC  
212 East Grand River Avenue  
Lansing, Michigan 48906-4328  
P: 517.318.3100 | F: 517.318.3099

### INDEX OF HIGHLIGHTED CASES |

#### **ORDERS** |

- U-13201 AT&T Michigan & Dobson Cellular Systems, Inc. (ica)
- U-14917 Attorney General v. Verizon North, Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems (pbles rate)
- U-15052 Ygnition Networks, Inc. (license)
- U-15057 AT&T Michigan & NationsLine Michigan, Inc. (ica)
- U-15127 Vatterott v. Chater Fiberlink-Michigan, LLC (consumer complaint: quality of service)
- U-15137 National Grid Communications (license)
- U-15156 Verizon North, Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems and CloseCall America, Inc. (ica)
- U-15158 AT&T Michigan & Tele-Reconnect, Inc. (ica)
- U-15168 Commission’s Own Motion (video complaints)
- U-15079 Communications Corp. of Michigan (expanded local calling area)
- U-15093 Frontier Communications of America, Inc. (license)

#### **APPLICATIONS AND COMPLAINTS** |

- U-12952 AT&T Michigan & TDS Metrocom, LLC (ica)

**Continued on page 2**

**APPLICATIONS AND COMPLAINTS | continued from Page 1**

- U-14733 Verizon North, Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems and United Telecom, Inc. d/b/a UTMI.net, Inc. (ica)
- U-14748 Verizon North, Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems and Osirus Communications, inc. d/b/a Talk Michigan, Inc. (ica)
- U-15115 AT&T Michigan and Cbeyond Communications, LLC (ica)
- U-15171 AT&T Michigan and Ygnition Networks, Inc. (ica)
- U-15173 Page v AT&T Michigan (consumer complaint: slamming)
- U-15174 AT&T Michigan and CenturyTel Acquisition, LLC d/b/a KMC Telecom III (ica)
- U-15175 AT&T Michigan and Metrocall, Inc. (ica)
- U-15176 Kurilla v. Comcast Phone of Michigan, LLC (consumer complaint: slamming)
- U-15178 Climax Telephone Company and Communications Corporation of Michigan (ica)

**FEATURES | CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1**

---

**MPSC Issues Interconnection Report | continued from Page 1**

Interconnection between the facilities and equipment of telecommunications carriers is required by the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the “Act”). However, the Act delegates to state commissions, such as the Michigan Commission, responsibility for arbitrating interconnection disputes and approving Interconnection Agreements. The 2005 revisions to the Michigan Telecommunications Act required the Commission to “issue a report and make recommendations to the legislature and the governor on or before January 1, 2007 involving the issues, scope, terms, and conditions of interconnection of telecommunication providers with the basic local exchange service.” MCL 484.2305. To accomplish this, the Commission sent a questionnaire and solicited comments from incumbent local exchange carriers (“ILECs”), competitive local exchange carriers (“CLECs”) and wireless providers operating in Michigan. Responses were received from 45 companies.

In their responses, many of the individual carriers expressed concerns with the way the interconnection arrangements are established and interpreted. Verizon North, Inc and Contel of the South, d/b/a Verizon North Systems, expressed concern that “CLECs do not each negotiate ICAs with them, instead choosing to let one CLEC act as the lead CLEC negotiating or arbitrating with Verizon, and then others follow by adopting that company’s agreement.” AT&T Michigan noted that it had taken several Commission orders to get all of its existing Interconnection Agreements updated following changes in Federal law. Several CLECs noted that the process for establishing an Interconnection Agreement is time consuming and very costly, especially when a CLEC cannot reach agreement with an ILEC and must bring the agreement to the Commission for Arbitration. Another respondent specifically noted “that ILECs use ambiguities in federal interconnection statutes to refuse or delay negotiations to establish ICAs . . . incumbents use these ambiguities to impose unreasonable terms or conditions on companies requesting interconnection.” The most significant concern among Wireless Carriers was that “the smaller ILECs do not yet recognize the obligation to assume the cost of transporting local calls as the terminating carrier instead of imposing access charges on those calls.”

While taking these concerns seriously, the Commission found that “in spite of” the disputes between and frustrations experienced by carriers, “the ability of carriers to provide services and compete for customers exists. Providers continue to work together to make agreements to interconnect

their facilities. Consumers do have choices in local telephone providers because of the progress Michigan has made in facilitating processes to interconnect providers as well as the industry's implementation of new technologies.

A complete copy of the report is available on the Commission's website at:

<[http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mpsc/interconnectionreportlegislature122906\\_182100\\_7.pdf](http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mpsc/interconnectionreportlegislature122906_182100_7.pdf)>

### **MPSC Issues Annual CLEC Survey**

The Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") has sent its annual Competitive Market Conditions Update survey to all licensed Competitive Local Exchange Carriers ("CLECs") (and Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers ("ILECs") that also operate as CLECs) in Michigan. This survey, which is also available on and should be completed on the Commission's website, is designed to provide updated information to the Commission to allow it "to continue to evaluate the state of local competition in the telecommunications industry in Michigan." Carriers are required to respond to the survey, pursuant to Section 103 of the Michigan Telecommunications Act, no later than March 15, 2007. The information being collected includes the number of residential and business customers of differing types of service in various market areas. Carriers who believe that this information is proprietary are allowed to respond under seal by mailing their responses to the Commission. The survey is located on the Commission's Web Site at:

<[http://www6.dleg.state.mi.us/parsers/competitive\\_market\\_conditions.asp](http://www6.dleg.state.mi.us/parsers/competitive_market_conditions.asp)>

## **PENDING LEGISLATION |**

The following is a list of active telecommunications bills that have been introduced during the current legislative session. Copies of bills and public acts referred to in this column may be obtained by contacting your state Senator or Representative, on the Michigan Legislature Web site, <<http://www.michiganlegislature.org/>>, or from the Legislative Service Bureau Document Room at (517) 373-0169.

<b>Senate Bill # Primary Sponsor</b>	<b>Date Introduced</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Status (revisions in bold)</b>
SB 002 Hardiman	01/10/2007	Campaign finance; public disclosure; disclosure of committees that employ and registration of persons who make "robocalls" in campaigns; require.	Referred to Senate committee on Campaign and Election Oversight.
SB 003 Haridman	01/10/2007	Elections; campaign practices; do-not-call list for automated political telephone calls; provide for.	Referred to Senate committee on Campaign and Election Oversight.
SB 004 Hardiman	01/10/2007	Communications; cellular telephone; customer consent to list numbers in a cell phone directory; require.	Referred to Senate committee on Homeland Security and Emerging Technologies.

*To date, no telecommunications legislation has been introduced in the Michigan House for the 2007-2008 Sessions.*

## PUBLIC ACTS OF 2005 AND 2006 |

Listed below are Public Acts related to telecommunications that were been passed during the previous legislative session (2005-2006). Copies of public acts may be obtained by contacting your state Senator or Representative, on the Michigan Legislature Web site, <<http://www.michiganlegislature.org/>>, or from the Legislative Service Bureau Document Room at (517) 373-0169. Acts passed since the previous edition of the *Michigan Telecommunications Report* are in bold.

Public Act No.	Effective Date	Description	Enrolled Bill No. and Sponsor
2005 PA 58	6/30/05	Communications; telecommunications; rule making and eliminate sunset of the Michigan telecommunications act; provide for. Repeals enacting section 1 of 2004 PA 591.	Enrolled SB 551 Patterson
2005 PA 103	07/22/05	Public utilities, other; use of highway by public utilities; provide for under certain conditions. Amends sec. 13 of 1925 PA 368 (MCL 247.183).	Enrolled SB 522 McManus
2005 PA 235	11/22/05	Communications; telecommunications; general amendments; provide for. Amends secs. 101, 102, 103, 201, 202, 203, 203a, 204, 205, 210, 213, 214, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 309, 309a, 310, 312, 314a, 315, 316, 316a, 317, 321, 352, 353, 355, 357, 358, 359, 401, 502, 503, 504, 505 & 604 of 1991 PA 179 (MCL 484.2101 et seq.); adds secs. 211a, 252, 301a, 305a, 305b, 310a, 314b & 353a & repeals (See bill).	Enrolled HB 5237 Nofs
2006 PA 60	03/21/06	Crimes; other; damaging, destroying, or preventing access to telecommunication device to prevent report of domestic violence, report of crime, or report of illness or injury; prohibit and provide penalties. Amends sec. 540 of 1931 PA 328 (MCL 750.540). TIE BAR WITH: HB 5043'05 HB 5044'05.	Enrolled SB 1024 Hardiman
2006 PA 61	03/21/06	Crimes; malicious destruction; damaging, destroying, or using telephone or computer equipment without authorization; prohibit and provide a penalty. Amends sec. 540 of 1931 PA 328 (MCL 750.540).	Enrolled HB 5043 Schuitmaker
2006 PA 62	03/21/06	Criminal procedure; sentencing guidelines; sentencing guidelines for crime of damaging, destroying, or using telephone or computer equipment without authorization; enact. Amends sec. 16z, ch. XVII of 1927 PA 175 (MCL 777.16z). TIE BAR WITH: HB 5043'05.	Enrolled HB 5044 Schuitmaker
2006 PA 74	03/20/06	Communications; telecommunications; transfer of funds from the CMRS emergency telephone fund to the general fund; provide for. Amends sec. 408 of 1986 PA 32 (MCL 484.1408).	Enrolled SB 960 Switalski

Public Act No.	Effective Date	Description	Enrolled Bill No. and Sponsor
2006 PA 133	05/16/06	Consumer protection; home solicitation sales; telemarketers; prohibit leaving certain messages requesting consumers to return call. Amends sec. 1c of 1971 PA 227 (MCL 445.111c).	Enrolled HB 4423 Wojno
2006 PA 246	06/27/06	Consumer protection; other; sale of cellular telephone records; prohibit. Amends sec. 5 of 2004 PA 452 (MCL 445.65) & adds sec. 5a.	Enrolled SB 1202 Patterson
2006 PA 249	06/21/06	Communications; telecommunications; sunset on 9-1-1 emergency service district; extend.	Enrolled SB 1172 Brown
2006 PA 432	10/03/06	Insurance; other; waiver of customer liability agreement; clarify as not being insurance. Amends 1956 PA 218 (MCL 500.100 - 500.8302) by adding sec. 126.	Enrolled HB 6248 Hune
<b>2006 PA 480</b>	<b>01/01/07</b>	<b>Communications; cable; regulation of competitive cable service providers; provide for. Creates new act.</b>	<b>Enrolled HB 6456</b> Nofs
2006 PA 540	12/29/07	Corrections; state facilities; furnishing cellular telephones or other wireless communication devices to prisoners; prohibit. Amends title of 1909 PA 17 (MCL 800.281 - 800.285) & adds sec. 3a.	Enrolled HB 5999 Condino
2006 PA 541	12/29/07	Criminal procedure; sentencing guidelines; guidelines for crime of furnishing cell phones or similar devices to prisoners; create. Amends sec. 17g, ch. XVII of 1927 PA 175 (MCL 777.17g). TIE BAR WITH: HB 5999'06.	Enrolled HB 6000 Condino
2006 PA 669	01/10/07	Sales tax; exemptions; provision regarding review process for telecommunication equipment exemption; revise. Amends sec. 4v of 1933 PA 167 (MCL 205.54v).	Enrolled HB 6277 Sheen
2006 PA 670	01/10/07	Use tax; exemptions; provision regarding review process for telecommunication equipment exemption; revise. Amends sec. 4q of 1937 PA 94 (MCL 205.94q).	Enrolled HB 6278 Sheen

## **ORDERS ISSUED BY MPSC |**

---

The Michigan Public Service Commission issued the following Orders at the regularly scheduled Commission meeting held on January 9, 2007 at 1:30 p.m.:

**Case No. U-13201** **Interconnection Agreement**  
**AT&T Michigan and Dobson Cellular Systems, Inc.**  
<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=13201>  
Application filed December 19, 2006 for approval of the Third Amendment to an Interconnection Agreement (assignment by American Cellular Corporation and Dobson Communications Corporation to Dobson Cellular System, Inc.).

**Case No. U-15057** **Interconnection Agreement**  
**AT&T Michigan and NationsLine Michigan, Inc.**  
<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15057>  
Application filed September 15, 2006 for approval of an Interconnection Agreement.

**Case No. U-15156** **Interconnection Agreement**  
**Verizon North, Inc., Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and CloseCall America, Inc.**  
<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15156>  
Application filed on December 12, 2006 for approval of an Interconnection Agreement.

**Case No. U-15158** **Interconnection Agreement**  
**AT&T Michigan and Tele-Reconnect, Inc.**  
<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15158>  
Application filed on December 13, 2006 for approval of a resale Interconnection Agreement.

On January 9, 2007 the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order approving the Interconnection Agreements and amendments listed above.

**Case No. U-15052** **License**  
**Ygnition Networks, Inc.**  
<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15052>

On January 9, 2007, the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) issued an order granting to Ygnition Networks, Inc. a license to provide basic local exchange service in the zone and exchange areas in which AT&T Michigan, Verizon North, Inc., and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a/ Verizon North Systems are the incumbent local exchange carriers. The Commission previously granted a temporary license to Ygnition Networks, Inc. on December 12, 2006.

**Case No. U-15127** **Consumer Complaint – Quality Service**  
**Joseph Vatterott v Charter Fiberlink–Michigan, LLC**

On January 9, 2007, the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) issued an order dismissing with prejudice the November 8, 2006 complaint of Joseph Vatterott against Charter Fiberlink–Michigan, LLC, alleging service problems. On December 20, 2006 Mr. Vatterott filed a request to withdraw the complaint with prejudice.

**Case No. U-15137**

**License**

**National Grid Communications, Inc.**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15137>**

On January 9, 2007, the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) issued an order granting to National Grid Communications, Inc. a temporary license to provide basic local exchange service throughout the State of Michigan.

**Case No. U-15168**

**Video Complaints**

**Commission’s Own Motion**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15168>**

On January 9, 2007, the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) commenced a proceeding to receive comments on proposed procedures for complaints under the Uniform Video Services Local Franchise Act, 2006 PA 480 (the “Act”). On December 21, 2006 Governor Jennifer Granholm signed the Act into law. The Act, which provides for competitive video franchises within political subdivisions in Michigan contains a provision requiring the Commission to propose to the Legislature, no later than June 1, 2007, a process to be added to the Act that would allow the Commission to review disputes between a video provider and its customers, between video providers and franchising entities, and between video providers. The Commission invites interested persons to comment on and suggest proposed procedures for the Commission’s review of complaints by February 8, 2007. Responses to those comments and suggestions are due March 1, 2007.

The Michigan Public Service Commission issued the following Order at a special Commission meeting held on January 10, 2007 at 1:30 p.m.:

**Case No. U-14917**

**PBLES Rate**

**Attorney General v Verizon North, Inc., and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15168>**

On January 10, 2007, the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) issued an order resolving the June 14, 2006 complaint of Attorney General Michael A. Cox (“AG”) against Verizon North, Inc., and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems (collectively, “Verizon”). The complaint alleged that Verizon had moved all of its Value Pak-60 customers to its primary basic local exchange service (“PBLES”) plan which had a 100-call allowance and increased the rate for the PBLES plan by \$1.20 over that of the Value Pak-60 and reduced the number of outgoing minutes per month from unlimited to 12,000 minutes per month. The complaint asserted that Verizon’s \$1.20 increase and reduction in outgoing minutes violated Section 304(1) of the Michigan Telecommunications Act (“MTA”) which provided that the cost of Verizon’s PBLES plan, which took effect on April 1, 2006, was not to exceed the rate for the lowest calling plan that was in effect before the PBLES plan was implemented. The Commission found that the statute “appears to be internally inconsistent.” Though, the Legislature was trying to avert a rate increase when the PBLES rate took affect, the Commission noted that the MTA also provides that the PBLES plan rate cannot be below the total service long-run incremental cost of offering such service. The Commission noted that Verizon’s lowest cost existing calling plan prior to PBLES only provided 60 calls per month. Since Verizon was required to add 40 calls per month to its lowest existing cost calling plan, to comply with the PBLES requirement, it was understandable why Verizon raised the cost of that plan to accommodate the additional calls per month. The Commission, has given Verizon thirty (30) days in which to file an application with the Commission, pursuant to Section 304(2)(d) of the MTA, for permission to increase its PBLES rate in an amount greater than the rate of its previous lowest cost

calling plan. Interested parties are invited to comment on this application, in this docket, by March 12, 2007. The Commission will thereafter make a determination on whether or not the \$1.20 increase is just and reasonable. The Commission also found that Verizon did not violate the MTA when it moved its customers from Value Pak-60 to the PBLES rate because Verizon had provided notice to the customers. However, the Commission has ordered Verizon to amend its tariff language to reflect the fact that customers are guaranteed not less than 12,000 outgoing minutes and that such outgoing minutes are not currently monitored by Verizon for the PBLES plan.

## **APPLICATIONS AND COMPLAINTS |**

---

**Case No. U-12952**

**Interconnection Agreement**

**AT&T Michigan and TDS Metrocom**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=12952>**

On December 29, 2006 AT&T Michigan and TDS Metrocom, LLC jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of a Ninth Amendment to the their Interconnection Agreement. According to the Application, the ninth amendment adds language establishing an agreed methodology for billing collocation Security Access/ID (identification) cards.

**Case No. U-14733**

**Interconnection Agreement**

**Verizon North, Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems and United Telecom, Inc. d/b/a UTMI.net, Inc.**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=14733>**

On January 9, 2007, Verizon North, Inc., and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems and United Telecom, Inc. d/b/a UTMI.net, Inc. jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of an Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. According to the application the Amendment relates to the pricing of services.

**Case No. U-14748**

**Interconnection Agreement**

**Verizon North, Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems and Osirus Communications, Inc. d/b/a Talk Michigan**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=14748>**

On January 9, 2007, Verizon North, Inc., and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems and Osirus Communications, Inc. d/b/a Talk Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of an Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. According to the Application the Amendment regards the pricing of services.

**Case No. U-15115**

**Interconnection Agreement**

**AT&T Michigan and Cbeyond Communications, LLC**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15115>**

On January 2, 2007, AT&T Michigan and Cbeyond Communications, LLC jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the Sixth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. According to the Application, the Sixth Amendment replaces the existing reciprocal compensation appendix in the Agreement with a negotiated intercarrier compensation appendix which includes bill-and-keep provisions.

**Case No. U-15171**

**Interconnection Agreement**

**AT&T Michigan and Ygnition Networks, Inc.**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15115>**

On January 3, 2007, AT&T Michigan and Ygnition Networks, Inc. jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval an Interconnection Agreement. According to the Application, the Interconnection Agreement is an adoption of the Interconnection Agreement by and between AT&T Michigan and Level 3 Communications, LLC that was initially approved by the Commission in an order issued on February 24, 2005 in Case No. U-14152.

**Case No. U-15173**

**Consumer Complaint: Slamming**

**Farrand Page v AT&T Michigan**

On January 4, 2007 Farrand Page (“Complainant”) filed a formal complaint against AT&T Michigan with the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) alleging that AT&T switched his telephone service and debited his bank account without his authorization. The Complainant seeks the imposition of fines and other penalties pursuant to the Michigan Telecommunications Act.

**Case No. U-15174**

**Interconnection Agreement**

**AT&T Michigan and CenturyTel Acquisition, LLC d/b/a KMC Telecom III**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15174>**

On January 5, 2007, AT&T Michigan and CenturyTel Acquisition, LLC d/b/a/ KMC Telecom III jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of an Interconnection Agreement.

**Case No. U-15175**

**Interconnection Agreement**

**AT&T Michigan and Metrocall, Inc.**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15175>**

On January 5, 2007, AT&T Michigan and Metrocall, Inc. jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of a Paging Facilities Interconnection Agreement.

**Case No. U-15176**

**Consumer Complaint: Slamming**

**Mike Kurilla v Comcast Phone of Michigan, LLC**

On January 9, 2007 Mike Kurilla (“Complainant”) filed a formal complaint with the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) against Comcast Phone of Michigan, LLC (“Comcast”) alleging that Comcast disconnected his telephone service, which had previously been with another carrier, without authorization. The Complainant seeks relief under the Michigan Telecommunications Act.

**Case No. U-15178**

**Interconnection Agreement**

**Climax Telephone Company and Communications Corporation of Michigan**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15178>**

On January 8, 2007, Climax Telephone Company and Communications Corporation of Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of a Mutual Traffic Exchange Interconnection Agreement.

## **NOTICES OF OPPORTUNITIES TO COMMENT |**

---

The following Notices of Opportunity to Comment have been issued by the Michigan Public Service Commission's Executive Secretary. Any interested person may submit comments on the application by sending written comments to the Commission by mail to: Executive Secretary, Michigan Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 30221, Lansing, Michigan 48909. Electronic comments may be e-mailed to: <mpscefilecases@michigan.gov>. Any comments should reference the applicable docket number.

**Case No. U-14917**

**PBLES Rate**

**Attorney General v Verizon North, Inc., and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15168>**

**Comments Due: March 12, 2007**

On January 10, 2007, the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") issued an order requiring Verizon North, Inc., and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems ("Verizon") to file an application with the Commission, pursuant to Section 304(2)(d) of the MTA, for permission to increase its Primary Basic Local Exchange Service rate in an amount greater than the rate of its previous lowest cost calling plan. Verizon's Application is required to be filed within 30 days. Interested parties are invited to comment on this Application, in this docket, by **March 12, 2007**. The Commission will thereafter make a determination on whether or not the increase, likely \$1.20 per month, is just and reasonable.

**Case No. U-15168**

**Video Complaints**

**Commission's Own Motion**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15168>**

**Comments Due: February 8, 2007**

**Reply Comments Due: March 1, 2007**

On January 9, 2007, the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") commenced a proceeding to receive comments on proposed procedures for complaints under the Uniform Video Services Local Franchise Act, 2006 PA 480 (the "Act"). On December 21, 2006 Governor Jennifer Granholm signed the Act into law. The Act, which provides for competitive video franchises within political subdivisions in Michigan contains a provision requiring the Commission to propose to the Legislature, no later than June 1, 2007, a process to be added to the Act that would allow the Commission to review disputes between a video provider and its customers, between video providers and franchising entities, and between video providers. The Commission invites interested persons to comment on and suggest proposed procedures for the Commission's review of complaints by filing such in this docket by **February 8, 2007**. Responses to those comments and suggestions are due **March 1, 2007**.

## **NOTICES OF HEARING |**

---

The following notices of hearing have been issued by the Michigan Public Service Commission's Executive Secretary. Unless otherwise noted, all hearings are held at the offices of the Michigan Public Service Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 7, Lansing, Michigan.

**Case No. U-15116**

**License**

**Tele-Reconnect, Inc.**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15116>**

**Evidentiary Hearing: February 6, 2007, at 9:00 a.m.**

The November 17, 2006 Application of Tele-Reconnect, Inc., which was amended on December 8, 2006, for a license to provide basic local exchange service throughout the State of Michigan is set for an evidentiary hearing at 9:00 a.m. on **February 6, 2007**, at the offices of the Michigan Public Service Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 7, Lansing, Michigan before Administrative Law Judge Sharon Feldman. Parties wishing to intervene in this proceeding must do so by **February 2, 2007**.

**Case No. U-15137**

**License**

**National Grid Communications, Inc.**

**<http://efile.mpsc.cis.state.mi.us/efile/viewcase.php?casenum=15137>**

**Evidentiary Hearing: January 24, 2007, at 9:00 a.m.**

The November 17, 2006 Application of National Grid Communications, Inc. for a license to provide basic local exchange service throughout the State of Michigan is set for an evidentiary hearing at 9:00 a.m. on **January 24, 2007**, at the offices of the Michigan Public Service Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 7, Lansing, Michigan before Administrative Law Judge Sharon Feldman. Parties wishing to intervene in this proceeding must do so by **January 22, 2007**.

## **MPSC HEARINGS SCHEDULE |**

---

Note: Unless indicated otherwise, hearings are held in the offices of the Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 7, Lansing, Michigan. Hearing dates and times are subject to change or cancellation. Please check with the Commission's Executive Secretary at (517) 241-6160 to confirm that a hearing will be taking place.

### **KNOWN TELECOM HEARINGS SCHEDULED FOR THE NEXT FORTNIGHT (JANUARY 15, 2006 THROUGH JANUARY 26, 2006)**

January 24, 2007, 9:00 a.m.

Case No. U-15137/evidentiary hearing

National Grid Communications, Inc. (license)

### **FUTURE TELECOM HEARINGS SCHEDULED**

January 30, 2007, 9:00 a.m.

Case No. U-15036/evidentiary hearing

AT&T Michigan (pbles rate)

February 6, 2007, 9:00 a.m.

Case No. U-15116/evidentiary hearing

Tele-Reconnect, Inc. (license)

## 2007 REGULAR MPSC MEETING DATES |

---

<u>Month &amp; Date</u>	<u>Day</u>	<u>Time</u>
January 30	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
February 15	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
March 21	Wednesday	1:30 p.m.
April 3	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
April 24	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
May 8	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
May 22	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
June 12	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
June 26	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
July 12	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
July 26	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
August 7	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
August 21	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
September 11	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
September 25	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
October 11	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
October 25	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
November 6	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
December 4	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
December 18	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.

\* Additional Special Meetings may be scheduled, as needed, on 18-hours notice as permitted by Section 5(4) of the Michigan Open Meetings Act, MCL 15.265(4). Agendas for all Regular and Special Meetings will be posted on 18 hours notice. A posted agenda is subject to amendment as determined by the Commission. Any person with a question about a Regular or a Special Meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission may make an inquiry by calling the Commission's Executive Secretary at (517) 241-6160

## **SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION**

---

Annual subscription rates (U.S. currency): single subscription: \$400; each additional subscription within the same firm: \$175. Please complete the form below and make checks payable to Clark Hill PLC. Mail to Circulation Mgr., Clark Hill, PLC, 212 E. Grand River Avenue, Lansing, MI 48906-4328, or fax to (517) 318-3099. You can reach us by phone at (517) 318-3100.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Company: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone number: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail address: \_\_\_\_\_

If you currently receive the **MTR** and would prefer to receive an electronic copy on the date of publication, please call Haran Rashes at (517) 318-3019.