

M ICHIGAN **T** ELECOMMUNICATIONS **R** EPORT™

A CLARK HILL PLC Publication, Roderick S. Coy*, Editor

Vol. 21, No. 23, November 18, 2005

In this issue . . .

page no.

- 2 **FCC approves SBC/AT&T and Verizon/MCI mergers**
- 6 Pending Legislation
- 10 Public Acts of 2005
- 11 Orders
- 15 Notices of Hearing
- 16 PSC Hearings Schedule
- 17 Applications and Complaints
- 28 Opportunities for Comment
- 28 PSC Meetings
- 29 MTR Subscription Information

MICHIGAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS REPORT (ISSN 08980357) is published every other week by Clark Hill PLC., 212 East Grand River Avenue, Lansing, Michigan 48906-4328. Although considerable care is exercised in reporting critical information (filing and hearing dates, etc.), such information should be confirmed with the original source. This newsletter should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general informational purposes only, and you are urged to consult a lawyer concerning your own situation and any specific legal questions you may have. Editor, Roderick S. Coy; Assistant Editor, Kristine M. Clements; Contributors, Haran C. Rashes and Leland R. Rosier; Annual Subscription \$400.00 (US).

Index of Highlighted Cases

ORDERS:

- U-11085 - CoreComm Michigan: license rescission
- U-12798 - SBC/Focal: ICA
- U-13003 - SBC/US Xchange: ICA
- U-13272 - SBC/Century Tel Solutions: ICA
- U-13371 - SBC/First Communications: ICA
- U-13642 - Verizon/ALLTEL Communications: ICA
- U-14412 - SBC/CenturyTel Fiber: ICA
- U-14413 - SBC/TelNet Worldwide: ICA
- U-14647 - TDS Companies/Cingular Wireless: ICA
- U-14654 - SBC/Charter Fiberlink-Michigan: ICA
- U-14655 - Ace Telco/Michiana Metronet: ICA
- U-14665 - TDS Companies/1-800-Reconex: ICA
- U-14374 - Lucre v SBC Michigan: ICA dispute
- U-14384 - SBC v Lucre: ICA dispute
- U-14482 - Dobson Cellular Systems: service area
- U-14483 - American Cellular: ETC Designation
- U-14521 - Verizon: billing standard waiver
- U-14567 - Absolute Music v Silv: slamming
- U-14639 - Airdis: temporary license
- U-14643 - T2 Communications: license
- U-14646 - Comtel Telecom Assets: license
- U-14659 - Allband Communication Coop: ETC designation
- U-14000 - Minute Action - Wireless Emergency Service

continued on page 2

*** MR. COY IS A MEMBER
IN THE CLARK HILL PLC LAW FIRM
DETROIT • BIRMINGHAM • LANSING**

COPYRIGHT © 2005

**CLARK HILL PLC
212 East Grand River Avenue
Lansing, MI 48906-4328
(517) 318-3100 – phone
(517) 318-3099 –fax**

continued from page 1

APPLICATIONS AND COMPLAINTS

U-14681 - Gellis v SBC and Intelsys: slamming
U-14682 – Kerrison v Verizon North: false,
misleading and deceptive statements

numerous interconnection agreements and
amendments

In addition, the mergers will give the companies increased economies of scale and scope, which should increase their incentives and resources to engage in basic research and development. Finally, the mergers should result in substantial cost savings, which should benefit consumers throughout the country.

The Commission's analysis of the competitive effects of the mergers focused on six key services. They are:

- **Special access competition:** The Commission found that, in a limited number of buildings where AT&T (in SBC's territory) and MCI (in Verizon's territory) is the only competitive carrier with direct connections, the mergers could have an anticompetitive effect on wholesale special access services that are provided entirely over a single carrier's facilities. The Commission found, however, that the Consent Decrees entered into on Oct. 27 between the U. S. Department of Justice and the applicants adequately address this potential harm. The Commission further found that the mergers are not likely to result in anticompetitive effects with respect to other special access services that combine one carrier's own facilities with those of another.
- **Retail enterprise competition:** The Commission found that the mergers are not likely to result in anticompetitive effects for medium and large enterprise customers because these customers are sophisticated, high-volume purchasers of
- communications services and because a significant number of

FEATURES

FCC APPROVES SBC/AT&T AND VERIZON/MCI MERGERS

Transactions Offer Significant Public Interest
Benefits

*Note: the following press release was published
by the FCC on November 17, 2005.*

October 31, 2005
Mark Wigfield, 202-418-0253
Email: mark.wigfield@fcc.gov

Washington, D.C. – The Federal Communications Commission today approved the mergers of SBC Communications Inc. with AT&T Corp. and Verizon Communications Inc. with MCI, Inc.

The Commission concluded that consumers will reap the rewards of the public interest benefits that will flow from these mergers. These benefits include integration of complementary networks, which will increase efficiency and provide consumers with new services and improved network performance and reliability. The mergers will create stable, reliable U.S.-owned companies that will provide improved service to government customers and benefit national defense and homeland security.

carriers will continue to compete in the market.

- **Mass market competition:** The Commission found that the mergers are not likely to result in anticompetitive effects for mass market customers because AT&T has ceased marketing those services and is gradually withdrawing from that market, while MCI has significantly reduced its marketing. The Commission further found that facilities-based intermodal competition, including cable VoIP and wireless services, is growing rapidly and will play an increasingly important role with respect to future mass market competition.
- **Internet backbone competition:** The Commission found that the mergers are not likely to result in anticompetitive effects in the Internet backbone market. It found that the mergers are not likely to cause the Internet to tip into monopoly or duopoly, or to give the merged entities the incentive or ability to tip the market to monopoly, increase prices to supra-competitive levels, or reduce service quality.
- **Wholesale interexchange (long distance) competition:** The Commission found that the market is likely to remain competitive after the mergers, due primarily to the presence of numerous competitive nationwide fiber networks with excess capacity.
- **International competition:** The Commission found that the

mergers are not likely to result in anticompetitive effects for mass market, enterprise or global telecommunications customers.

- **Public Interest Benefits.** Among the many public interest benefits, the Commission specifically recognized the applicants' progress implementing the Commission's VoIP 911 requirements for interconnected VoIP providers.

The Commission also adopted in the Order **as enforceable conditions** certain voluntary commitments made by the applicants.

- The applicants committed not to seek an increase in state-approved rates for unbundled network elements (UNEs) for two years (except for rates that are subject to current appeals in specific states).
- The applicants committed to a one-time recalculation to exclude fiber-based collocation arrangements established by AT&T in SBC's region and MCI in Verizon's region in identifying wire centers in which SBC or Verizon claims there is no impairment pursuant to the UNE triggers in the Triennial Review Remand Order so that dedicated transport and/or high-capacity loops need not be unbundled.
- The applicants committed to implement a "Service Quality Measurement Plan," which will provide the Commission with quarterly performance results for interstate special access services. This commitment will terminate the earlier of 30 months and 45 days after the beginning of the

first full quarter following the closing of the mergers, or the effective date of a Commission order adopting general special access performance measurement requirements.

- The applicants committed, for 30 months, not to increase the rates paid by existing in-region customers of AT&T in SBC's region or MCI in Verizon's region for wholesale DS1 and DS3 local private line services.
- SBC/AT&T and Verizon/MCI committed, for a period of 30 months, not to provide special access services to themselves, their interexchange affiliates, or each other or their affiliates, that are not generally available to other similarly situated customers.
- The applicants committed that for a period of 30 months, before they provide new or modified contract tariffed service to their own section 272(a) affiliate(s), they will certify to the Commission that they provide service pursuant to those contract tariffs to unaffiliated customers other than each other or their wireline affiliates.
- The applicants committed for a period of 30 months not to increase rates set forth in SBC's and Verizon's interstate tariffs for special access services, including contract tariffs, that they provide in their in-region territory that are on file with the Commission on the Merger Closing Dates.
- The applicants committed, for a period of three years, to maintain settlement-free peering

arrangements with at least as many providers of Internet backbone services as they did in combination on the Merger Closing Dates.

- The applicants committed for a period of two years to post their peering policies on publicly accessible websites. During this two-year period, the applicants will post any revisions to their peering policies on a timely basis as they occur.
- SBC/AT&T acknowledged: (1) that the merger does not change carrier of last resort obligations imposed by the State of Alaska on interexchange services provided by Alascom; (2) that the merger will not alter statutory and regulatory geographic rate averaging and rate integration rules that apply on the merger closing date to Alascom; and (3) after the merger closing date, they will operate Alascom as a distinct, though not structurally separate, corporate entity.
- The applicants committed to provide, within 12 months of the Merger Closing Dates, DSL service to in-region customers without requiring them to also purchase circuit-switched voice telephone service. The companies will make the offering for two years from the time it is made available in a particular state.
- The applicants committed for a period of two years to conduct business in a way that comports with the Commission's Internet policy statement issued in September.

- Finally, the applicants committed to file annual certifications that they are complying with these enforceable commitments.

Action by the Commission October 31, 2005, by Memorandum Opinion and Order (FCC 05-183). Chairman Martin and Commissioner Abernathy, with Commissioners Copps and Adelstein concurring. Separate statements issued by Chairman Martin, Commissioners Abernathy, Copps, and Adelstein.

SBC/AT&T Docket No.: 05-65

Action by the Commission October 31, 2005, by Memorandum Opinion and Order (FCC 05-184). Chairman Martin and Commissioner Abernathy, with Commissioners Copps and Adelstein concurring. Separate statements issued by Chairman Martin, Commissioners Abernathy, Copps, and Adelstein.

Verizon/MCI Docket No. 05-75

Wireline Competition Bureau Staff
 Contact: Marcus Maher at 202-418-2339, marcus.maher@fcc.gov. (SBC/AT&T); Gail Cohen at 202-418-0939, gail.cohen@fcc.gov (Verizon/MCI).

* * *

**JOINT FCC/NARUC TASK
 FORCE ON VOIP 911
 ENFORCEMENT
 LAUNCHES WEB SITE**

Note: the following press release was published by the FCC on November 17, 2005.

November 14, 2005
 Rosemary Kimball at (202) 418-0511
 e-mail: rosemary.kimball@fcc.gov

Washington, DC -- The Joint FCC/NARUC Task Force on VoIP 911 Enforcement has launched a new Web site to provide consumers, industry and state and local governments information about the rules that require certain providers of Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) services to supply 911 emergency calling capabilities to their customers. The address is www.voip911.gov.

The ability to access emergency services by dialing 911 is a vital component of public safety and emergency preparedness. VoIP service allows consumers to place a call like traditional telephone service; however, recent incidents in which consumers using VoIP service dialed 911 but were unable to reach emergency operators have highlighted a critical public safety gap. The FCC has taken steps to close this gap by requiring that, effective November 28, 2005, interconnected VoIP providers deliver all 911 calls to the customer's local emergency operator. Interconnected VoIP providers must also provide the customer's call back number and location information to the emergency operator if the emergency operator is capable of receiving this information.

FCC Chairman Kevin J. Martin said, "Anyone who dials 911 has a reasonable expectation that he or she will be connected to an emergency operator; this expectation exists whether that person is dialing 911 from a

traditional wireline phone, a wireless phone, or a VoIP phone. This new Web site will provide an easy way for consumers, industry and other government agencies to get the most current information on this important issue.”

FCC Contact: Lyle Ishida at (202) 418-8240, e-mail: lyle.ishida@fcc.gov

NARUC Contact: Eddie Roberson at (615) 741-2904, e-mail: eddie.roberson@state.tn.us

- FCC -

PENDING LEGISLATION

The following is a list of active telecommunications bills that have been introduced during the current legislative session. Copies of bills and public acts referred to in this column may be obtained by contacting your state senator or representative, on the Michigan Legislature Web site, <<http://www.michiganlegislature.org/>> or from the Legislative Service Bureau Document Room at (517) 373-0169.

Senate Bill # Senator	Date Introduced	Description	Status
SB 48 Johnson	1/25/05	State; authorities; issuance of bonds for equipment associated with telecommunications on the campuses of Michigan institutions of higher education with lease options back to the institutions and the state; provide for. Amends sec. 7 of 1964 PA 183 (MCL 830.417).	To Senate Committee on Appropriations
SB 58 Cherry	1/25/05	Communications; telecommunications; 9-1-1 system to pinpoint caller location; provide for. Amends 1986 PA 32 (MCL 484.1101 – 484.1717) by adding sec. 408a	To Senate Committee on Technology and Energy
SB 127 Hardiman	2/1/05	Communications; cellular telephone; customer consent to list numbers in a cell phone directory; require. Amends 1991 PA 179 (MCL 484.2101 - 484.2701) by adding sec. 360a.	To Senate Committee on Technology and Energy
SB 221 McManus	2/17/05	Income tax; credit; tax credit for placement of a cell phone tower on an individual's property located in an underserved area; provide for. Amends 1967 PA 281 (MCL 206.1 - 206.532) by adding sec. 262.	5/25/05 passed Senate with Sub S-2, yeas 27, nays 9, excused 2, not voting 0; 5/25/05 referred to Committee on Tax Policy
SB 222 Barcia	2/17/05	Single business tax; credit; tax credit for companies that construct cell phone towers in rural areas; establish. Amends 1975 PA 228 (MCL 208.1 - 208.145) by adding sec. 35c.	5/25/05 passed Senate with Sub S-1, yeas 28, nays 9, excused 1, not voting 0; referred to House Committee on Tax Policy

SB 224 Van Woerkom	2/17/05	Communications; telecommunications; broadband development authority; require to designate a certain amount of financial assistance to rural underserved areas. Amends sec. 7 of 2002 PA 49 (MCL 484.3207).	6/7/05 passed, yeas 32, nays 2, excused 4, not voting 0; referred to House Committee on Energy and Technology
SB 528 Johnson	5/24/05	Communications; telecommunications; regulation of telecommunications industry; eliminate. Creates new act.	To Senate Committee on Technology and Energy
SB 551 Patterson	5/21/05	Communications; telecommunications; rule making and eliminate sunset of the Michigan telecommunications act; provide for. Repeals enacting section 1, rulemaking provision, of 2004 PA 591.	6/28/05 passed House, yeas 106, nays 1; 6/30 given immediate effect and ordered enrolled;
SB 595 Switalski	6/16/05	Use tax; rate; certain telecommunication services and motion picture films; include in taxable items. Amends sec. 3a of 1937 PA 94 (MCL 205.93a).	6/16 referred to Senate Committee on Appropriations
SB 737 Thomas III	9/6/05	Traffic control; violations; use of cellular telephone while operating a vehicle driving in a left lane of a highway; prohibit and provide penalties. Amends 1949 PA 300 (MCL 257.1 - 257.923) by adding sec. 682c.	To Senate Committee on Transportation; 11/9/05 reported favorably with recommendation for referral to Committee on Technology and Energy
SB 754 Brown	9/13/05	Communications; telecommunications; general amendments; provide for. Amends secs. 102, 202, 208 & 304 of 1991 PA 179 (MCL 484.2102 et seq.) & repeals sec. 304b of 1991 PA 179 (MCL 484.2304b).	10/11/05 Passed roll call - Yeas 38 Nays 0 Excused 0 not voting 0; 10/12/05 referred to House Committee on Energy and Technology
SB 806 Patterson	10/11/05	Public utilities; public service commission; regulation of public service commission; establish.	To Senate Committee on Technology and Energy
SB 814 Patterson	10/18/05	Traffic control; civil infraction procedures; points added to driver license record when determined that use of cellular telephone contributed to cause of traffic violation; provide for, and prohibit novice drivers from using handheld cellular telephones while operating a motor vehicle.	10/19/05 Reassigned to Senate Committee on Technology and Energy

SB 885 Hardiman	11/10/05	Crimes; other; damaging, destroying, or preventing access to telecommunication device to prevent report of domestic violence or to summon assistance required as a result of domestic violence; prohibit and provide penalties. Amends 1931 PA 328 (MCL 750.1 - 750.568) by adding sec. 411u.	To Senate Committee on Judiciary
SCR 0021 Patterson	5/18/05	A concurrent resolution to urge the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to use its authority post haste to require that Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP) service providers make enhanced 9-1-1 emergency call services available to all customers.	To Senate Committee on Technology and Energy

House Bill # Representative	Date Introduced	Description	Status
HB 4050 Lemmons III	1/27/05	Corrections; state facilities; telephone system for state correctional facilities; impose requirements. Amends 1953 PA 232, entitled "Corrections code of 1953," (MCL 791.201 to 791.283) by adding section 69b.	To House Committee on Judiciary
HB 4052 Lemmons III	1/27/05	Trade; consumer goods and services; sale of cellular telephones and pagers to a minor; require written parental consent. A bill to prohibit the sale of cellular telephones and pagers to certain minors without written parental consent; and to prescribe civil sanctions.	To House Committee on Judiciary
HB 4067 Schuitmaker	1/27/05	Communications; telecommunications; requirement for timely transfer of customers from 1 provider to another; provide for. A bill to amend 1991 PA 179, entitled "Michigan telecommunications act," (MCL 484.2101 to 484.2701) by adding section 364.	To House Committee on Energy and Technology

HB 4397 Sak	2/23/05	Communications; telecommunications; cell phone numbers listed with directory assistance; provide for. Amends sec. 309 of 1991 PA 179 (MCL 484.2309).	To House Committee on Energy and Technology
HB 4423 Wojno	3/1/05	Prohibits telemarketers from leaving certain messages requesting consumers to return call. Am. Sec. 1c, PA 227, 1971 (MCL 445.111c) as added by PA 612, 2002.	To House Committee on Energy and Technology
HB 4563 Garfield	3/24/05	A bill to repeal 2002 PA 49, entitled "Michigan broadband development authority act," (MCL 484.3201 to 484.3225).	To House Committee on Energy and Technology
HB 4600 Drolet	4/13/05	Communications; telecommunications; regulation of telecommunications industry; eliminate. Creates new act entitled the "communications act" to be effective 01/01/06. A bill to promote deployment of and investment in communications services in this state; and to prescribe the powers and duties of certain state agencies and officials.	To House Committee on Energy and Technology
HB 4952 Miller	6/16/05	Use tax; rate; certain telecommunication services and motion picture films; include in taxable items. Amends sec. 3a of 1937 PA 94 (MCL 205.93a).	To House Committee on Tax Policy
HB 5115 Bieda	9/6/05	Environmental protection; recycling; collection for recycling or disposal of used cellular telephones by retailers; require. Amends 1994 PA 451 (MCL 324.101 - 324.90106) by adding pt. 175.	To Committee on Natural Resources, Great Lakes, Land Use, and Environment
HB 5122 Vander Veen	9/6/05	Trade; antitrust; refusals to deal by providers of electronic data interchange and value added network services; prohibit. Amends title & sec. 1 of 1984 PA 274 (MCL 445.771) & adds sec. 3a.	To Committee on Energy and Technology
HB 5133 Law	9/7/05	Traffic control; violations; use of cell phone while operating a vehicle by an individual in the graduated licensing program; prohibit and establish penalties. Amends sec. 907 of 1949 PA 300 (MCL 257.907) & adds sec. 602b.	10/20/05 passed House; given immediate effect; Roll Call # 524 Yeas 78 Nays 27; 10/25/05 referred to House Committee on Technology and Energy

HB 5237 Nofs	9/27/05	Communications; telecommunications; general amendments; provide for. Amends secs. 101, 102, 103, 201, 202, 203, 203a, 204, 205, 209, 210, 211, 213, 214, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 309, 309a, 310, 312, 314a, 315, 316a, 317, 318, 320, 321, 322, 351, 352, 353, 355, 357, 358, 359, 401, 502, 503, 504, 505 & 604 of 1991 PA 179 (MCL 484.2101 et seq.); adds sec. 252 & repeals (See bill).	11/3/05 passed Senate with amendments, given immediate effect; Roll Call # 546; yeas 38, nays 0, excused 0, not voting 0; House concurred in Senate amendment(s); Roll Call # 565 Yeas 96 Nays 6; bill ordered enrolled; 11/8/2005 @ 9:36 am presented to the Governor
HB 5272 Elsenheimer	10/06/05	Communications; telecommunications; disclosure of early termination fees or penalties; require.	To Committee on Energy and Technology

PUBLIC ACTS OF 2005

Listed below are Public Acts related to telecommunications that have been passed during the current legislative session (2005-2006). Copies of public acts may be obtained by contacting your state senator or representative, on the Michigan Legislature Web site, <<http://www.michiganlegislature.org/>> or from the Legislative Service Bureau Document Room at (517) 373-0169.

Public Act No.	Effective Date	Description	Enrolled Bill No. and Sponsor
2005 PA 58	6/30/05	Communications; telecommunications; rule making and eliminate sunset of the Michigan telecommunications act; provide for. Repeals enacting section 1 of 2004 PA 591.	SB 551 Patterson
2005 PA 103	07/22/05	Public utilities, other; use of highway by public utilities; provide for under certain conditions. Amends sec. 13 of 1925 PA 368 (MCL 247.183).	SB 522 McManus

ORDERS

Note: MPSC orders issued November 10, 2005.

Case No. U-11085
CoreComm Michigan Inc
(rescinded license)

On November 10, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") issued an order rescinding the license of CoreComm Michigan, Inc., f/k/a USN Communications, Inc. to provide basic local exchange service. CoreComm's parent company, atx Telecommunications Services, Inc. requested that the license be rescinded and advised the Commission that its customers were transferred to First Communications LLC in September of 2005.

Case No. U-12798
SBC Michigan and Broadwing Communications, LLC,
f/k/a Focal Communications Corporation of Michigan
Application filed on September 8, 2005 for approval of a ninth
amendment to an interconnection agreement (to establish name
change and operations integration)

Case No. U-13003
SBC Michigan and US Xchange of Michigan, L.L.C.,
d/b/a Choice One Communications
Application filed October 13, 2005 for approval of a fourth
amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds ISP Bound
Traffic)

Case No. U-13272
SBC Michigan and CenturyTel Solutions, LLC
Application filed October 24, 2005 for approval of a seventh
amendment (incorporates certain rates, terms, and conditions
pertaining to loop and transport rate increases and embedded base
transition) and an eighth amendment to an interconnection
agreement (incorporates certain rates, terms, and conditions
pertaining to mass market unbundled local switching rate increases
and embedded base transition)

Case No. U-13371
SBC Michigan and First Communications, LLC
Application filed September 30, 2005 for approval of the fourth
amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds Alternate Billed
Services)

Case No. U-13642

**Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc.,
d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and ALLTEL Communications, Inc.
Application filed October 18, 2005 for approval of a first
amendment to an interconnection agreement (amends, extends, and
restates agreement)**

Case No. U-14412

**SBC Michigan and CenturyTel Fiber Company II, LLC,
d/b/a LightCore, a CenturyTel Company
Application filed October 24, 2005 for approval of a second
amendment (incorporates certain rates, terms, and conditions
pertaining to loop and transport rate increases and embedded base
transition) and a third amendment to an interconnection agreement
(incorporates certain rates, terms, and conditions pertaining to mass
market unbundled local switching rate increases and embedded base
transition)**

Case No. U-14413

**SBC Michigan and TelNet Worldwide, Inc.
Application filed October 14, 2005 for approval of a sixth amendment
to an interconnection agreement (amends any existing monthly
recurring Collocation DC Power charges in the agreement).**

Case No. U-14647

**Chatham Telephone Company, Communications Corporation of
Michigan, Island Telephone Company, Shiawassee Telephone
Company, and Wolverine Telephone Company, d/b/a TDS Telecom,
and Cingular Wireless LLC
Application filed September 28, 2005 for approval of an
interconnection agreement**

Case No. U-14654

**SBC Michigan and Charter Fiberlink-Michigan, LLC
Application filed October 18, 2005 for approval of an interconnection
agreement**

Case No. U-14655

**Ace Telephone Company of Michigan, Inc., and Michiana Metronet,
Inc., d/b/a Centennial Wireless
Application filed October 4, 2005 for approval of an interconnection
agreement**

Case No. U-14665

Chatham Telephone Company, Communications Corporation of Michigan, Island Telephone Company, Shiawassee Telephone Company, and Wolverine Telephone Company, d/b/a TDS Telecom and 1-800-Reconex, Inc., d/b/a USTel

Application filed October 20, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement (mutual traffic exchange agreement)

On November 10, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order approving the interconnection agreements and amendments listed above.

Case No. U-14374

**Lucre Inc v SBC Michigan
(interconnection dispute)**

Case No. U-14384

**SBC Michigan v Lucre Inc
(interconnection dispute)**

On November 10, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission ordered that further proceedings in these matters should not be undertaken until Lucre's discharge from bankruptcy or until the bankruptcy court grants a motion to lift the automatic stay, whichever occurs first.

Case No. U-14482

**Dobson Cellular Systems Inc
(ETC designation)**

On November 10, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") issued an order granting the application filed by Dobson Cellular Systems, Inc. ("Dobson") for designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier in the proposed expanded service areas for purposes of USF support, with the understanding that Dobson will comply with enhanced service requirements, as required by the FCC Report and Order 05-46, CC Docket 96-45, and the Commission's October 18, 2005 order in Case No. U-14530, after October 1, 2006.

Case No. U-14483

**American Cellular Corporation
(ETC designation)**

On November 10, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order granting the application of American Cellular Corporation for designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier for purposes of USF support.

Case No. U-14521
Verizon North Inc and Contel of the South Inc,
d/b/a Verizon North Systems
(waiver of billing standards)

On November 10, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") issued an order dismissing without prejudice the application of Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems. On October 18, 2005 Verizon filed a request with the Commission to withdraw its application.

Case No. U-14639
Airdis LLC
(license)

On November 10, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order granting to Airdis, LLC, d/b/a Airdis Telecom, a temporary license to provide basic local exchange service in the zones and exchanges currently serviced by Verizon North, Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, CenturyTel of Michigan, Inc., CenturyTel Midwest-Michigan, Inc., CenturyTel of Northern Michigan, Inc., CenturyTel of Upper Michigan, Inc., and SBC Michigan. The temporary license will expire upon issuance of the final order granting or denying its application for a permanent license.

Case No. U-14643
T2 Communications LLC
(amended license)

On November 10, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order granting to T2 Communications LLC a temporary expansion of its license to provide basic local exchange service in the exchanges currently served by Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems. The temporary license will expire upon issuance of the final order granting or denying its application for a permanent license expansion.

Case No. U-14646
Comtel Telcom Assets Limited Partnership
(license)

On November 10, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order granting to Comtel Telcom Assets Limited Partnership temporary license to provide basic local exchange service in the exchanges currently served by SBC Michigan, Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems. The temporary license will expire upon issuance of the final order granting or denying its application for a permanent license expansion.

Case No. U-14659
Allband Communications Cooperative
(ETC designation)

On November 10, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") issued an order granting the application of Allband Communications Cooperative for designation as an eligible telecommunications carrier for purposes of USF support.

Case No. U-14000
Minute Action
(recoverable wireless emergency service costs)

On November 10, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") issued the following minute action.

“Adopt and issue minute dated November 10, 2005 finding that the attached invoices from SBC Michigan and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems (Verizon), are for wireless emergency service costs recoverable pursuant to 2003 PA 244, MCL 484.1408(11), and consistent with the Commission’s order dated June 29, 2004 in Case No. U-14000. The Commission recommends approval of the attached invoices for reimbursement by the Emergency Telephone Service Committee SBC Michigan’s invoice number is 517R41-0001-067-9. Verizon’s invoice numbers are M19NZMTS911 and M19NGMTS911.”

* * *

NOTICES OF HEARING

Unless otherwise noted, all hearings are held at the offices of the Michigan Public Service Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 7, Lansing, Michigan.

Case No. U-14634
Vilaire Communications
(license)

The September 14, 2005 application of Vilaire Communications, for a license to provide basic local exchange service throughout the State of Michigan in the zone and exchange areas served by Verizon North Incorporated, Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems and SBC Michigan, has been set by the Michigan Public Service Commission for an evidentiary hearing at **9:00 a.m. on November 22, 2005**. Interventions are due on or before **November 18, 2005**.

Case No. U-14639
Airdis, LLC d/b/a Airdis Telecom
(license)

The September 22, 2005 application of Airdis, LLC d/b/a Airdis Telecom, for a license to provide basic local exchange service throughout the State of Michigan in the zone and exchange areas served by Verizon North Incorporated, Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems,

CenturyTel of Michigan, Inc., CenturyTel Midwest – Michigan, Inc., CenturyTel of Northern Michigan, Inc., CenturyTel of Upper Michigan, Inc., and SBC Michigan, has been set by the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for an evidentiary hearing at **9:00 a.m. on December 1, 2005**. Interventions are due on or before **November 28, 2005**.

Case No. U-14642

Brenda Sweeney v AT&T Communications of Michigan Inc
(consumer complaint: unauthorized charges)

On September 22, 2005, Brenda Sweeney ("Complainant") filed a formal complaint with the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") against AT&T Communications of Michigan, Inc. ("AT&T"). Complainant alleges that AT&T is in violation of Section 502 of the Michigan Telecommunications Act ("MTA") for unauthorized charges totaling \$646.55. The Complainant is asking for reimbursement, the imposition of fines, and the entry of a Cease and Desist Order in accordance with Section 601 of the MTA. The Commission will consider the complaint at a public hearing to be held at **9:00 a.m. on November 17, 2005**.

Case No. U-14646

Comtel Telecom Assets LP
(license)

On September 27, 2005 Comtel Telecom Assets LP ("Comtel") filed an application, and on October 20, 2005, Comtel filed an amended application, for a license to provide basic local exchange service in the areas served by SBC-Ameritech Michigan, Verizon North Incorporated and Verizon North Systems. The Michigan Public Service Commission has set this matter for an evidentiary hearing at **9:00 a.m. on December 8, 2005**. Interventions are due on or before **December 5, 2005**.

Case No. U-14653

PAC-West Telecomm, Inc
(license)

The October 6, 2005 application of PAC-West Telecomm, Inc., for a license to provide resold and facilities-based local exchange telecommunications services throughout the State of Michigan, was set by the Michigan Public Service Commission for an evidentiary hearing at **9:00 a.m. on December 6, 2005**. Interventions are due on or before **December 1, 2005**.

* * *

PSC HEARINGS SCHEDULE

Note: *Unless indicated otherwise, hearings are held in the offices of the Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 7, Lansing.*

Hearings Scheduled for Last Week November 7 - 11, 2005)

Nov. 8, 2005, 9:00 a.m.

Case No. U-14475/evidentiary hearing

Phone Express Michigan (license)

Nov. 9, 2005, 9:00 a.m.

Case No. U-14641/evidentiary hearing

Hansel v Global Crossing Telemangement (slamming)

Hearings Scheduled for This Week November 14 - 18, 2005

November 17, 2005, 9:00 a.m.
Case No. U-14642/public hearing
Sweeney v AT&T (unauthorized charges)

Future Hearings Scheduled

Nov. 22, 9:00 a.m.
Case No. U-14634/evidentiary hearing
Vilaire Communications (license)

Dec. 6, 2005, 9:00 a.m.
Case No. U-14653/evidentiary hearing
PAC-West Telecomm (license)

Dec. 1, 2005, 9:00 a.m.
Case No. U-14639/evidentiary hearing
Airdis Telecom (license)

Dec. 8, 2005, 9:00 a.m.
Case No. U-14646/evidentiary hearing
Comtel Telcom Assets (license)

APPLICATIONS AND COMPLAINTS

Case No. U-11340 Climax Telephone Co and SBC Michigan (interconnection agreement)

On November 3, 2005 Climax Telephone Company and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Seventh Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Seventh Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-12535 American Fiber Network Inc and SBC Michigan (interconnection agreement)

On November 4, 2005 American Fiber Network Inc and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Eleventh Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Eleventh Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-12774 PaeTec Communications Inc and SBC Michigan (interconnection agreement)

On November 10, 2005 PaeTec Communications Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Sixth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Sixth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-12886
1-800-Reconex Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 10, 2005 1-800-Reconex and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Tenth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Tenth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-12927
DIECA and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 4, 2005 DIECA, d/b/a Covad Communications Company and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Fourteenth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Fourteenth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-12954
Buckeye Telesystem Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 3, 2005 Buckeye Telesystem and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Seventh Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Seventh Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-12962
Adelphia Business Solutions Operations Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 9, 2005 Adelphia Business Solutions Operations, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of the Fourth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the voluntarily negotiated Fourth Amendment changes the CLEC name to TelCove Operation, Inc.

Case No. U-13003
US Xchange of Michigan LLC and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 9, 2005 US Xchange of Michigan LLC, d/b/a Choice One Communications and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Fifth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Fifth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13032
B&S Telecom Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 14, 2005 B & S Telecom, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Seventh Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Seventh Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13118
Borderland Communications LLC and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 3, 2005 Borderland Communications LLC and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Seventh Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Seventh Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13124
McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 4, 2005 McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Eighth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Eighth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13175
XO Communications Services Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 9, 2005 XO Communications Services Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Eleventh Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Eleventh Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13295
DSLnet Communications LLC and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 4, 2005 DSLnet Communications LLC and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Eighth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Eighth Amendment incorporates into the

Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13378
Comcast Phone of Michigan LLC and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 4, 2005 Comcast Phone of Michigan and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Fourth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Fourth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13448
AccuTel of Texas Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 4, 2005 AccuTel of Texas Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Fifth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Fifth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13483
WilTel Local Network LLC and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 4, 2005 WilTel Local Network LLC and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Second Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Second Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13493
Navigator Telecommunications LLC and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 10, 2005 Navigator Telecommunications LLC and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Fourth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Fourth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Fourth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13530
Budget Phone Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 3, 2005 Budget Phone Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Fourth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Fourth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13541
Nexus Communications Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 15, 2005 Nexus Communications Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Fifth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Fifth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13569
Talk America Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 7, 2005 Talk America Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Fifth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Fifth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13580
Sprint Communications Co LP and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 8, 2005 Sprint Communications Company LP and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Second Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Second Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13614
ACN Communications Services Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 3, 2005 ACN Communication Services Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Eleventh Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Eleventh Amendment incorporates into the

Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13701
Net Express Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 3, 2005 Net Express Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Third Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Third Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13710
Trinsic Communications Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 4, 2005 Trinsic Communications Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Eighth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Eighth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13725
LDMI Telecommunications Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 9, 2005 LDMI Telecommunications Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Tenth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Tenth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13741
Broadview Networks Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 4, 2005 Broadview Networks Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Fifth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Fifth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13758
MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 9, 2005 MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Eighth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Eighth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13854
New Rochelle Telephone Co and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 3, 2005 New Rochelle Telephone Company and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Third Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Third Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13887
Ernest Communications Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 3, 2005 Ernest Communications Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Second Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Second Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13921
IDT America Corp and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 7, 2005 IDT America Corporation and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Eighth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Eighth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13924
American Farm Bureau Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 4, 2005 American Farm Bureau Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Seventh Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Seventh Amendment incorporates into the

Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13954
Superior Technologies Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 3, 2005 Superior Technologies Inc., d/b/a Superior Spectrum Communications, and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Eighth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Eighth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-13986
Coast to Coast Telecommunications Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 9, 2005 Coast to Coast Telecommunications Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Tenth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Tenth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-14034
Easton Telecom Services LLC and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 7, 2005 Easton Telecom Services LLC and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Second Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Second Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-14045
United Telecom Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 11, 2005 United Telecom, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Fourth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Fourth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-14063
American Cellular Corp and Verizon
(interconnection agreement)

On November 15, 2005 American Cellular Corporation and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of the First Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the First Amendment amends the terms and conditions between them with respect to E911.

Case No. U-14082
Dobson Cellular Systems Inc and Verizon
(interconnection agreement)

On November 15, 2005 Dobson Cellular Systems, Inc. and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of the First Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the First Amendment amends the terms and conditions between them with respect to E911.

Case No. U-14222
Winn Telephone Co and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 7, 2005 Winn Telephone Company, d/b/a Winn Telecom, and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Thirteenth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Thirteenth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-14279
KMC Telecom V Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 3, 2005 KMC Telecom V Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Thirteenth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Thirteenth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-14280
KMC Data LLC and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 3, 2005 KMC Data LLC and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Fourteenth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Fourteenth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-14301
Quick Communications Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On October 6, 2005 Quick Communications Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Third Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Third Amendment reflects new costs for unbundled network elements (UNEs) as ordered by the Commission in its January 25, 2005 Order in Case No. U-13531.

Case No. U-14317
BCN Telecom Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 3, 2005 BCN Telecom Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Second Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Second Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-14398
Norlight Telecommunications Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 4, 2005 Norlight Telecommunications Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Fourth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Fourth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-14413
TelNet Worldwide Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 4, 2005 TelNet Worldwide Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Seventh Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Seventh Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-14437
Ameritech Advanced Data Services of Michigan Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 2, 2005 Ameritech Advanced Data Services of Michigan, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the First Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the First Amendment adds rates for xDSL Loop Conditioning for RABT-MMP into the Agreement.

Case No. U-14592
PhoneCo LP and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On November 4, 2005 PhoneCo LP and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the Sixth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that the Sixth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement the terms and conditions mandated by the Commission in its Order of September 20, 2005 in Case No. U-14447 (TRO/TRRO Order).

Case No. U-14680
Comcast Phone of Michigan LLC and
CenturyTel Midwest Michigan Inc, CenturyTel of Michigan Inc,
CenturyTel of Northern Michigan, CenturyTel of Upper Michigan Inc
(interconnection agreement)

On October 31, 2005 Comcast Phone of Michigan LLC and CenturyTel Midwest Michigan Inc., CenturyTel of Michigan Inc., CenturyTel of Northern Michigan Inc., and CenturyTel of Upper Michigan Inc. jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of their voluntarily negotiated Traffic Exchange Agreement.

Case No. U-14681
Michael B. Gellis MD PC v SBC Michigan and Intelsys
(consumer complaint: slamming and unauthorized charges)

On October 31, 2005 Michael B. Gellis MD PC ("Complainant"), of Bloomfield Hills, filed a formal complaint with the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") against SBC Michigan and Intelsys alleging deceptions and fraudulent practices in adding an unordered service (Custom Biz Saver Unlimited) to Complainants bill and the unauthorized switch of his local toll telephone service provider for several telephone lines. Complainant seeks reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses in the amount of \$3,045.00, the imposition of fines, and the entry of a cease and desist order against the companies.

Case No. U-14682
William Kerrison Jr. v Verizon North
(false, misleading and deceptive statements)

On November 7, 2005 William Kerrison, Jr. ("Complainant"), of Otisville, filed a formal complaint with the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") against Verizon North alleging that the company representative made false, misleading and deceptive statements about the company's charges for telephone services. Complainant states his phone service was then disconnected after he refused to pay the disputed charges. Complainant seeks reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses in the amount of \$300.00, the imposition of fines, and the entry of a cease and desist order.

Case No. U-14687
Chatham Telephone Co, Communication Corp of Michigan,
Island Telephone Co, Shiawassee Telephone Co.,
Wolverine Telephone Co and Thumb Cellular LLC
(interconnection agreement)

On November 10, 2005 Chatham Telephone Company, Communication Corporation of Michigan, Island Telephone Company, Shiawassee Telephone Company, Wolverine Telephone Company (collectively, (TDS Companies”) and Thumb Cellular LLC jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of their Wireless Traffic Exchange Agreement.

Case No. U-14688
Allband Communication Cooperative and Verizon
(interconnection agreement)

On November 15, 2005 Allband Communication Cooperative and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that Allband agreed to adopt the terms of the interconnection agreement between CenturyTel of Michigan Inc. and CenturyTel Midwest Inc and Verizon, previously approved by the Commission in Case No. U-12683

* * *

PSC NOTICES OF OPPORTUNITY FOR COMMENT

Case No. U-14651
Climax Telephone Company
(rate increases)

On October 13, 2005, Climax Telephone Company (“Climax”) filed an application with the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of certain rate increases for basic local exchange service. The Commission directs Climax to issue a notice of opportunity to comment in accordance with the Michigan Telecommunications Act, 1991 PA 179, as amended. The Commission further directs that written and electronic comments may be filed with the Commission and must be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on December 9, 2005.**

* * *

2005 REGULAR COMMISSION MEETING DATES*

Month & Date	Day	Time
December 20	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.

*The MPSC may schedule special meetings, as needed, on 18 hours’ notice.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

Annual subscription rates (U.S. currency): single subscription: \$400; each additional subscription within the same firm: \$175. Please make checks payable to Clark Hill PLC and mail orders to Circulation Mgr., Clark Hill, PLC, 212 E. Grand River Avenue, Lansing, MI 48906-4328, or fax to (517) 318-3099. You can reach us by phone at (517) 318-3100.

Name: _____

Title: _____

Company: _____

Address: _____

City, State, Zip: _____

Phone number: _____

E-mail address _____