

# **M** ICHIGAN **T** ELECOMMUNICATIONS **R** EPORT™

A CLARK HILL PLC Publication, Roderick S. Coy\*, Editor

**Vol. 21, No. 2, January 28, 2005**

In this issue . . .

page no.

- 2 Features
  - **Senator Patterson convenes telecom workgroup**
  - **MPSC announces new legislative liaison**
  - **MDOT schedules 511 calling code workshops around state**
- 7 Pending Legislation
- 7 Public Acts of 2005
- 7 Orders
- 15 Notices of Hearing
- 17 PSC Hearings Schedule
- 18 Applications and Complaints
- 21 Opportunities for Comment
- 24 PSC Meetings
- 24 MTR Subscription Information

MICHIGAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS REPORT (ISSN 08980357) is published every other week by Clark Hill PLC., 212 East Grand River Avenue, Lansing, Michigan 48906-4328. Although considerable care is exercised in reporting critical information (filing and hearing dates, etc.), such information should be confirmed with the original source. This newsletter should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general informational purposes only, and you are urged to consult a lawyer concerning your own situation and any specific legal questions you may have. Editor, Roderick S. Coy; Assistant Editor, Kristine M. Clements; Contributors, Haran C. Rashes and Leland R. Rosier; Annual Subscription \$400.00 (US).

## **INDEX OF CASES HIGHLIGHTED**

### **ORDERS:**

- U-11098 SBC & MCI: ICA
- U-11551 McLeod & Verizon: ICA
- U-11980 SBC & Message Express: ICA
- U-12145 SBC & Nextel West: ICA
- U-12217 TCG & Verizon: ICA
- U-12774 SBC & Paetec Communications: ICA
- U-12790 SBC & New-Cell: ICA
- U-12902 Lucre & Verizon: ICA
- U-12952 SBC & TDS Metrocom: ICA
- U-12954 SBC & Buckeye Telesystem: ICA
- U-13003 SBC & US Xchange: ICA
- U-13175 SBC & XO Michigan: ICA
- U-13227 SBC & Verizon Wireless: ICA
- U-13272 SBC & CenturyTel: ICA
- U-13295 SBC & DSLnet Communications: ICA
- U-13328 SBC & RVP Fiber Company: ICA
- U-13400 SBC & Norlight: ICA
- U-13569 SBC & Talk America: ICA
- U-13758 SBC & MCI: ICA
- U-13792 SBC & Range Telecommunications: ICA
- U-13845 SBC & Metro Teleconnect: ICA
- U-13845 SBC & Metro Teleconnect: ICA
- U-13854 SBC & New Rochelle Tel: ICA
- U-13879 SBC & Sprint Spectrum: ICA
- U-13921 SBC & IDT America: ICA
- U-13985 SBC & Global Connection: ICA

*Continued on Page 2.*

**\* MR. COY IS A MEMBER  
IN THE CLARK HILL PLC LAW FIRM  
DETROIT • BIRMINGHAM • LANSING**

**COPYRIGHT © 2005**

**Clark Hill PLC  
212 East Grand River Avenue  
Lansing, MI 48906-4328  
(517) 318-3100  
(517) 318-3099 - Fax  
<http://www.clarkhill.com>**

## FEATURES

### **SENATOR PATTERSON CONVENES WORKGROUP TO STUDY TELECOMMUNICATION ISSUES IN MICHIGAN**

In anticipation of the December 31, 2005 sunset of the Michigan Telecommunications Act (“MTA”), Michigan Senate Technology and Energy Committee Chairman, Senator Bruce Patterson (R-Canton), has formed a workgroup to study the MTA and any changes that may be required as the Legislature considers issues and problems with telecommunications competition in the State of Michigan. The workgroup, which will operate in a similar fashion to that which Senator Patterson convened last year to electricity choice and reliability, will meet over the next 30 days. Appointed to the work group were Craig Anderson of SBC, Scott Stevenson of the Telecommunications Association of Michigan, Paul Fuglie of Verizon Communications, Kristin Shulman of XO Communications, Roderick S. Coy of the law firm Clark Hill PLC, and general counsel to Competitive Local Exchange Carriers Association of Michigan, Larry Handley of the Communications Workers of America, Colleen McNamara of the Michigan Cable Television Association, Rich Studley of the Michigan Chamber of Commerce, Mark Iannuzzi of TelNet Worldwide, and a representative of Attorney General Michael Cox’s Office, who will be named later. Senator Patterson indicated that he chose these representatives in an effort to “try to get people from the entire panoply” of the Communications Industry. Senator Patterson indicated that the work group will “not work on solutions but identify problems.” It is hoped that the work group will report back to the Senate Technology and Energy Committee within the next 30 days. Senator Patterson hopes to convene a second workgroup at a later date to deal more specifically with targeted issues relating to revisions to the MTA.

## **ORDERS**

*(Continued from Page 1)*

- U-14044 SBC & Midwestern Telecommunications: ICA
- U-14045 SBC & United Telecom: ICA
- U-14058 SBC & Cat Communications: ICA
- U-14058 SBC & Cat Communications: ICA
- U-14166 SBC & Neutral Tandem: ICA
- U-14222 SBC & Winn Telecom: ICA
- U-14238 SBC & Drenthe Telco: ICA
- U-14302 SBC & Clear Rate Communications: ICA
- U-14368 SBC & DayStarr LLC: ICA
- U-14390 Allendale & Nextel West: ICA
- U-14395 TDS Telecommunications & Dobson Cellular: ICA
- U-14396 Comcast Phone & Contel of the South: ICA
- U-14397 American Broadband & Telecomm Co & Verizon North & Contel South: ICA
- U-14398 SBC & Norlight: ICA

## **APPLICATIONS AND COMPLAINTS**

- U-12962 Adelphia Business Solutions/SBC: ICA
- U-13175 XO Michigan: ICA
- U-13378 Comcast Phone of Michigan/SBC: ICA
- U-13569 Talk America/SBC: ICA
- U-13710 Z-Tel/SBC: ICA
- U-13741 Broadview Networks/SBC: ICA
- U-14117 Ameritech Mobile/SBC: ICA
- U-14120 American Farm Bureau/Verizon: ICA
- U-14176 Cinergy Communications/SBC: ICA
- U-14412 CenturyTel Fiber/SBC: ICA
- U-14416 KMC Data: notice of election
- U-14417 KMC Telecom V: notice of election
- U-14418 KMC Telecom III: notice of election

### **MPSC ANNOUNCES APPOINTMENT OF LEGISLATIVE LIAISON**

*Note: The following press release was issued by the MPSC on January 20, 2005.*

Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) Chair J. Peter Lark today announced the appointment of Greg White as the Commission’s legislative liaison.

"The Commission has benefited greatly from Greg White's years of experience as a utility industry policy analyst," said Lark. "His strong background in utility-related legislative matters equips him greatly to serve as the Commission's legislative liaison," said Lark.

White, of Grand Rapids, previously served as the executive assistant to Commissioner Robert B. Nelson and former MPSC Commissioner John Shea. In addition, he has managed the Commission's congressional relations since 1989. White earned a bachelor's degree from Michigan State University and a master's degree in public administration from Grand Valley State University. He has served as chairman of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners' staff subcommittee on nuclear issues and waste disposal for the last six years.

## **MDOT HOLDING WORKSHOPS ON 511 CALLING CODE**

511 is a phone-based travel information system that has been implemented in several states throughout the country - it provides an easy-to-remember three-digit number for travelers to obtain information about travel and road conditions, public transportation, weather conditions that could impact travel, and other important information. The Michigan Department of Transportation is leading a statewide 511 Strategic Plan and Feasibility Study to determine if 511 service would be feasible in Michigan. The Fact Sheet (below) provides a quick summary of 511 and the Michigan DOT 511 Plan and Feasibility Study.

### ***You're Invited to Participate in an Important Workshop About Travel Information in Michigan***

511 is a phone-based travel information system that has been implemented in several states. The objective of 511 is to provide travelers with an easy-to-remember three-digit number where they can access important information about road and travel conditions, public transportation, and weather that could impact travel on roads and highways. The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) is leading a Statewide Strategic Plan and feasibility study to see if implementing a 511 service in Michigan is feasible. You are cordially invited to attend a half-day workshop to learn more about how a 511 Traveler Information system can benefit travelers in urban and rural areas. The work shop sponsored by the Michigan Department of Transportation will provide you with the latest information on 511, and will also provide you with an opportunity to provide your suggestions and ideas about information needs, benefits, and challenges surrounding a 511 service in Michigan. Your participation and input is needed to ensure we adequately address the travel information needs and issues of your area. It is important that we get participation from a wide range of perspectives, including:

- State, regional, county and local traffic and transportation management agencies
- Regional planning agencies
- Urban and rural public transportation providers
- Tourism and travel services
- Chambers of Commerce
- Airports
- Others

Workshops are scheduled throughout Michigan on the following dates:

**Grand Rapids -  
Monday, January 31, 2005**  
1:00 PM – 4:00 PM  
Location: Grand Rapids Region Office  
1420 Front Ave., NW  
Grand Rapids, MI, 49504  
(616) 4513091

**Saint Ignace -  
Wednesday, February 2, 2005**  
9:00 AM – noon  
Location: Quality Suites  
913 Boulevard Drive  
St. Ignace, MI 49781  
(906) 6439700

**Mt. Pleasant -  
Tuesday, February 1, 2005**  
9:00 AM – noon  
Location: Comfort Inn, Mt. Pleasant  
2424 S. Mission Street  
Mt. Pleasant, MI 48858  
(989) 7724000

**Detroit Metro -  
Friday, February 4, 2005**  
9:00 AM – 2:00 PM  
Location: MITS Center  
1050 Sixth Street  
Detroit, MI 48226  
Gate Code 15790#  
(313) 2569800

If you plan on attending one of the workshops, please RSVP for a specific workshop to Melissa Fry, KimleyHorn and Associates at (602) 9061331 or [Melissa.Fry@kimleyhorn.com](mailto:Melissa.Fry@kimleyhorn.com) no later than noon on January 28th so that we can be sure to have adequate workshop materials on hand. *Please forward this invitation on to others in your agency who might be interested.* If you would like more information about the Michigan 511 Statewide Strategic Plan or the upcoming workshops, contact Greg Krueger, 511 Project Manager at (248) 4835120 or [KruegerG@michigan.gov](mailto:KruegerG@michigan.gov), or Lisa Burgess with KimleyHorn and Associates at (602)-9061359.

***If you are not able to attend, but would still like to provide input to the study, please complete and return the survey form below.***

\* \* \* \* \*

### **511 Travel Information Service for Michigan Stakeholder Survey**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Agency/Affiliation: \_\_\_\_\_  
City and County: \_\_\_\_\_

*You can remain anonymous, but please include City and County information. The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) is embarking on a Strategic Plan for 511 to determine if it will be feasible for Michigan to implement a 511 travel information service. An important component of the Strategic Plan is collecting input from stakeholders through this survey as to the priority travel information needs in Michigan, the availability of travel information, operational concepts for a 511 service, and potential partnership opportunities, both public and private. Please provide responses to as many of the following as are relevant to you, your agency, city, county, region, etc.*

**1. How familiar are you with existing travel information resources within Michigan (such as travel information via the Internet, telephone, highway advisory radio, etc.)?**

Very \_\_\_\_ Somewhat \_\_\_\_ Not at all \_\_\_\_

**2. Have you used any of the following to obtain information about travel and roadway conditions, traffic, or transit service? (Answer ‘yes’ to all that apply).**

Radio traffic reports \_\_\_\_\_ Internet/web site \_\_\_\_\_  
TV traffic reports \_\_\_\_\_ Dynamic message signs \_\_\_\_\_  
Highway advisory radio \_\_\_\_\_ Phone information hotlines \_\_\_\_\_  
Local transit agency \_\_\_\_\_ (e.g., 1-800-381-8477) \_\_\_\_\_

**3. Phone-based Travel Information Content Needs**

**Basic Content**

Please prioritize (1=Most important, 5=Least important) the following types of ‘basic’ content options for a travel information phone service. Include other types of basic content you think are important for this service.

Construction/maintenance projects \_\_\_\_\_  
Congestion- and incident-related road and bridge closures and delays \_\_\_\_\_  
Major special events (e.g., fairs, sports) \_\_\_\_\_  
Weather and road surface conditions \_\_\_\_\_  
Transit or public transportation \_\_\_\_\_  
Other – please list: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Optional Content**

Optional content could include ‘concierge’ type services, such as trip planning, tourism, and other premium services. Please indicate which types of optional content you think should be part of Michigan’s 511 service.

Tourist information \_\_\_\_\_  
Traveler services and ‘Yellow Pages’ (e.g., towing, locksmith, restaurant reservations) \_\_\_\_\_  
Trip planning \_\_\_\_\_  
Emergency alerts \_\_\_\_\_  
Airport information (e.g., parking, security) \_\_\_\_\_  
Travel time estimates \_\_\_\_\_  
Alternate modes (e.g., bikes, pedestrians) \_\_\_\_\_  
Other – please list: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**4. Potential Benefits of a 511 Service**

What benefit would you derive from being able to access travel information of adjacent states (Ohio, Indiana, Wisconsin) from Michigan’s 511 service?

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

What benefit would you derive from being able to access travel information of the neighboring province of Ontario, Canada from Michigan's 511 service? What benefits do you see a 511 service potentially providing in your area?

---

---

---

Who are key audiences that you see potentially benefiting from a 511 service (e.g., commuters, truckers, tourists)?

---

---

---

**5. Agency Participation in a 511 Service**

Who should be responsible for 511 (funding, operating and maintaining the service)? Do you consider travel information to be a public service, a private venture, or a combination of both?

---

---

---

What travel information (basic or optional content) could your agency provide to MDOT for inclusion in the 511 service? How, and in what format, would that information be transmitted to MDOT?

---

---

---

What are the potential challenges and issues you see facing a 511 service in Michigan? What need to be the key considerations during the planning stages for this service?

---

---

---

*Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your perspective about 511 in Michigan. Please return completed questionnaires to:*

Lisa Burgess  
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.  
7878 N. 16th Street, Suite 300  
Phoenix, Arizona 85020  
Fax: 602-906-1173  
Email: [Lisa.Burgess@kimley-horn.com](mailto:Lisa.Burgess@kimley-horn.com)

\* \* \* \* \*

\* \* \*

## PENDING LEGISLATION

The following is a list of active telecommunications bills that have been introduced during the current legislative session. Copies of bills and public acts referred to in this column may be obtained by contacting your state senator or representative, on the Michigan Legislature Web site, <<http://www.michiganlegislature.org/>> or from the Legislative Service Bureau Document Room at (517) 373-0169.

Senate Bill # Senator	Date Introduced	Description	Status
SB 48 Johnson	1/25/05	State; authorities; issuance of bonds for equipment associated with telecommunications on the campuses of Michigan institutions of higher education with lease options back to the institutions and the state; provide for. Amends sec. 7 of 1964 PA 183 (MCL 830.417).	To Senate Committee on Appropriations
SB 58 Cherry	1/25/05	Communications; telecommunications; 9-1-1 system to pinpoint caller location; provide for. Amends 1986 PA 32 (MCL 484.1101 – 484.1717) by adding sec. 408a	To Senate Committee on Technology and Energy

House Bill # Representative	Date Introduced	Description	Status
HB 4050 Lemmons III	1/27/05	Corrections; state facilities; telephone system for state correctional facilities; impose requirements. Amends 1953 PA 232, entitled "Corrections code of 1953," (MCL 791.201 to 791.283) by adding section 69b.	To House Committee on Judiciary
HB 4052 Lemmons III	1/27/05	Trade; consumer goods and services; sale of cellular telephones and pagers to a minor; require written parental consent. A bill to prohibit the sale of cellular telephones and pagers to certain minors without written parental consent; and to prescribe civil sanctions.	To House Committee on Judiciary
HB 4067 Schuitmaker	1/27/05	Communications; telecommunications; requirement for timely transfer of customers from 1 provider to another; provide for. A bill to amend 1991 PA 179, entitled "Michigan telecommunications act," (MCL 484.2101 to 484.2701) by adding section 364.	To House Committee on Energy and Technology

## **PUBLIC ACTS OF 2005**

There are currently no Public Acts related to telecommunications that have been passed during the current legislative session (2005-2006). Copies of past public acts may be obtained by contacting your state senator or representative, on the Michigan Legislature Web site, <<http://www.michiganlegislature.org/>> or from the Legislative Service Bureau Document Room at (517) 373-0169.

## **ORDERS**

### **Case No. U-11098**

**SBC Michigan and MCI Worldcom Communications Inc  
Application filed January 6, 2005 for approval of a seventh  
amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing  
schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required  
by the September 21, 2004 order in Case No. U-13531  
(September 21 order))**

### **Case No. U-11551**

**McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services Inc,  
d/b/a Phone Michigan, and  
Verizon North Inc and Contel of the South Inc,  
d/b/a Verizon North Systems  
Application filed November 30, 2004 for approval of a first  
amendment to an interconnection agreement (ISP-bound  
and local traffic compensation)**

### **Case No. U-11980**

**SBC Michigan and Message Express Co  
Application filed December 3, 2004 for approval of a  
second amendment to an interconnection agreement  
(pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs,  
required by September 21 order)**

### **Case No. U-12145**

**SBC Michigan and Nextel West Corp.  
Application filed December 13, 2004 for approval of a fifth  
amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing  
schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required  
by September 21 order)**

### **Case No. U-12217**

**Teleport Communications Group Inc, d/b/a TCG Detroit,  
and Verizon North Inc and Contel of the South Inc,  
d/b/a Verizon North Systems  
Application filed December 15, 2004 for approval of a third  
amendment to an interconnection agreement (amending  
terms and conditions regarding unitary intercarrier  
compensation)**

**Case No. U-12774**

**SBC Michigan and PaeTec Communications Inc**  
**Application filed January 5, 2005 for approval of a third**  
**amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing**  
**schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required**  
**by September 21 order).**

**Case No. U-12790**

**SBC Michigan and New-Cell Inc**  
**Application filed December 3, 2004 for approval of a**  
**second amendment to an interconnection agreement**  
**(pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs,**  
**required by September 21 order).**

**Case No. U-12902**

**Lucre, Inc. and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the**  
**South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems**  
**Application filed January 4, 2005 for approval of a first**  
**amendment to an interconnection agreement (addressing**  
**Verizon North Systems' invoices for transport facilities and**  
**grooming of interconnection trunks).**

**Case No. U-12952**

**SBC Michigan and TDS Metrocom, LLC, f/k/a TDS**  
**Metrocom, Inc.**  
**Application filed January 5, 2005 for approval of a fourth**  
**amendment to an interconnection agreement (reflecting a**  
**name change from TDS Metrocom Inc, to TDS Metrocom,**  
**LLC)**

**Case No. U-12954**

**SBC Michigan and Buckeye Telesystem Inc**  
**Application filed December 27, 2004 for approval of a fifth**  
**amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC)**

**Case No. U-13003**

**SBC Michigan and US Xchange of Michigan LLC,**  
**d/b/a Choice One Communications**  
**Application filed January 5, 2005 for approval of a second**  
**amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing**  
**schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required**  
**by September 21 order)**

**Case No. U-13175**

**SBC Michigan and XO Michigan Inc**  
**Application filed January 11, 2005 for approval of a**  
**seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (ISP-**  
**bound traffic reciprocal compensation)**

**Case No. U-13227**  
**SBC Michigan and Verizon Wireless Personal Communications Limited Partnership, d/b/a Verizon Wireless Application filed December 8, 2004 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order)**

**Case No. U-13272**  
**SBC Michigan and CenturyTel Solutions LLC Application filed December 20, 2004 for approval of a fifth amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC)**

**Case No. U-13295**  
**SBC Michigan and DSLnet Communications LLC Application filed December 27, 2004 for approval of a sixth amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC)**

**Case No. U-13328**  
**SBC Michigan and RVP Fiber Co LLC Application filed December 10, 2004 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order)**

**Case No. U-13400**  
**SBC Michigan and Norlight Telecommunications Inc Application filed December 15, 2004 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order)**

**Case No. U-13569**  
**SBC Michigan and Talk America Inc. Application filed January 11, 2005 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (charging for collocation of DC power)**

**Case No. U-13758**  
**SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC Application filed January 6, 2005 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order)**

**Case No. U-13792**  
**SBC Michigan and Range Corp d/b/a Range**  
**Telecommunications**  
**Application filed December 7, 2004 for approval of a**  
**second amendment to an interconnection agreement**  
**(pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs,**  
**required by September 21 order)**

**Case No. U-13845**  
**SBC Michigan and Metro Teleconnect Companies Inc.**  
**Application filed December 27, 2004 for approval of a third**  
**amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing**  
**schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required**  
**by September 21 order)**

**Case No. U-13845**  
**SBC Michigan and Metro Teleconnect Companies, Inc.**  
**Application filed January 5, 2005 for approval of a fourth**  
**amendment to an interconnection agreement (deleting**  
**section 4.1 of the Resale Appendix and replacing it with a**  
**911 Appendix)**

**Case No. U-13854**  
**SBC Michigan and New Rochelle Telephone Corp**  
**Application filed December 15, 2004 for approval of a first**  
**amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing**  
**schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required**  
**by September 21 order).**

**Case No. U-13879**  
**SBC Michigan and Sprint Spectrum LP**  
**Application filed December 3, 2004 for approval of a third**  
**amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing**  
**schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required**  
**by September 21 order).**

**Case No. U-13921**  
**SBC Michigan and IDT America Corp.**  
**Application filed December 27, 2004 for approval of a sixth**  
**amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).**

**Case No. U-13985**  
**SBC Michigan and Global Connection Inc of America**  
**Application filed January 7, 2005 for approval of first**  
**amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing**  
**schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required**  
**by September 21 order).**

**Case No. U-14044**

**SBC Michigan and Midwestern Telecommunications Inc**  
**Application filed December 10, 2004 for approval of a first**  
**amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing**  
**schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required**  
**by September 21 order).**

**Case No. U-14045**

**SBC Michigan and United Telecom Inc.**  
**Application filed December 27, 2004 for approval of a**  
**second amendment to an interconnection agreement**  
**(pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs,**  
**required by September 21 order).**

**Case No. U-14058**

**SBC Michigan and CAT Communications International Inc**  
**Application filed December 20, 2004 for approval of a seventh**  
**amendment (adds batch hot cut process).**

**Case No. U-14058**

**SBC Michigan and CAT Communications International Inc**  
**Application filed December 27, 2004 for approval of an eighth**  
**amendment (adds the Out of Exchange Traffic Appendix)**

**Case No. U-14166**

**SBC Michigan and Neutral Tandem-Michigan LLC**  
**Application filed December 10, 2004 for approval of a tenth**  
**amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing**  
**schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required**  
**by September 21 order).**

**Case No. U-14222**

**SBC Michigan and The Winn Telephone Co,**  
**d/b/a Winn Telecom**  
**Application filed January 4, 2005 for approval of a ninth**  
**amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing**  
**schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required**  
**by September 21 order)**

**Case No. U-14238**

**SBC Michigan and Drenthe Telephone Company**  
**Application filed December 10, 2004 for approval of the**  
**fourth amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC)**

**Case No. U-14302**

**SBC Michigan and Clear Rate Communications, Inc.**  
**Application filed December 10, 2004 for approval of a**  
**thirteenth amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC)**

**Case No. U-14368**  
**SBC Michigan and DayStarr LLC,**  
**d/b/a DayStarr Communications**  
**Application filed December 1, 2004, for approval of an**  
**interconnection agreement (traffic termination agreement)**

**Case No. U-14390**  
**Allendale Telephone Company and Nextel West Corp.**  
**Application filed December 20, 2004 for approval of an**  
**interconnection agreement (reciprocal compensation)**

**Case No. U-14395**  
**TDS Telecommunications Corporation and**  
**Dobson Cellular Systems Inc**  
**Application filed January 6, 2005 for approval of an**  
**interconnection agreement**

**Case No. U-14396**  
**Comcast Phone of Michigan LLC, d/b/a Comcast Digital Phone,**  
**and Verizon North Inc and Contel of the South Inc, d/b/a**  
**Verizon North Systems**  
**Application filed on January 3, 2004 for approval of an**  
**interconnection agreement (adoption pursuant to 47 USC 252(i)**  
**and the agreement approved on July 16, 1999 in**  
**Case No. U-11165 for AT&T Communications of Michigan Inc**  
**and first amendment)**

**Case No. U-14397**  
**American Broadband and Telecommunications Co and**  
**Verizon North Inc and Contel of the South Inc,**  
**d/b/a Verizon North Systems**  
**Application filed on January 3, 2005 for approval of an**  
**interconnection agreement (adoption pursuant to 47 USC**  
**252(i) and the agreement approved in Case No. U-11165,**  
**first and second amendments)**

**Case No. U-14398**  
**SBC Michigan and Norlight Telecommunications Inc**  
**Application filed January 10, 2005 for approval of an**  
**interconnection agreement.**

On January 25, 2005, the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order approving the interconnection agreements and amendments listed above.

**Case No. U-13531**  
**Commission's Own Motion**  
**(SBC Michigan Cost Case)**

On January 25, 2004 the Michigan Public Service Commission approved, with modifications, SBC Michigan's November 5, 2004 compliance filing in the Total Service Long Run Incremental Costs ("TSLRIC") docket. SBC's filing included revised cost studies, revised tariffs and an illustrative interconnection agreement amendment with a summary of UNE and interconnection prices resulting from the approved cost studies. Talk America and a group of Competitive Local Exchange Carriers ("CLECs") timely filed objections to SBC's compliance filing. The compliance filing was modified by the Commission to reflect zero rates for several non-recurring rate elements, such as enhanced extended loops (EELs) – Clear Channel Capability Disconnect, Line Connection Charge – Design Layout Report Date, and Line Connection Charge – Records Issue Date; changing the rates for certain elements to "connect" charges from "disconnect" charges; adding additional NRC rate outputs that SBC failed to include; adding a separate optional charge for Directory Assistance Lists Tape Distribution; and elimination of collocated and non collocated rate structures for EELs in favor of the rate structure proposed by AT&T.

The Commission also affirmed its finding that new rates were effective November 6, 2004, the day after SBC made its compliance filing. All tariffed and Interconnection Agreement rates were ordered trued-up to that date. The Commission also accepted SBC's proposed generic Amendment to all Interconnection Agreements and ordered that SBC submit this amendment to each CLEC with whom it has an Interconnection Agreement and that the CLEC be required to execute and return the amendment to SBC within 21 days for filing with the Commission.

**Case No. U-14282**  
**JAS Networks Inc v SBC Michigan**  
**(interconnection agreement dispute)**

On January 25, 2005, the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an opinion and order dismissing the September 9, 2004, Complaint of JAS Networks, Inc. against SBC Michigan. On December 17, 2004, the parties filed a stipulation to dismiss the complaint without prejudice.

**Case No. U-14304**  
**Allied Home Mortgage Capital Corp v Granite**  
**Telecommunications, LLC**  
**(consumer complaint: slamming)**

On January 25, 2005, the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order dismissing with prejudice the October 29, 2004, Complaint of Allied Home Mortgage Capitol Corporation against Granite Telecommunications, LLC. On January 10, 2005, the complainant filed a request to withdraw the complaint.

**Case No. U-14312**  
**Paul Kreys v McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services**  
**(consumer complaint: slamming)**

On January 25, 2005, the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order dismissing with prejudice the November 3, 2004, Complaint of Paul Kreys against McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc. On January 3, 2005, the complainant filed a request to withdraw the complaint.

**Case No. U-14318**  
**Angelitta Mapp v Sprint Communications Co LP**  
**(consumer complaint: slamming)**

On January 25, 2005, the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order dismissing with prejudice the Complaint of Angelitta Mapp against Sprint Communications Company, L.P. On December 20, 2004, the parties filed a stipulation to dismiss the complaint.

**Case No. U-14334**  
**AT&T Communications of Michigan Inc**  
**(rate increase)**

On January 25, 2005, the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an opinion and order approving AT&T Communications of Michigan, Inc.'s ("AT&T") request to increase rates for its residential calling plans for basic local exchange service. The Commission found that AT&T's proposed increase in the monthly basic local exchange service rates for its Calling Plan 50, Calling Plan 400 Unlimited, and Calling Plan Unlimited Residential Calling Plans were just and reasonable and generally comparable to the rates charged by other competing carriers.

**Case No. U-14357**  
**Arialink Telecom, LLC**  
**(temporary license)**

On January 25, 2005, the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an opinion and order granting to Arialink Telecom, LLC a temporary license to provide basic local exchange service in the zone and exchange areas served by SBC Michigan, Verizon North Incorporated, and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems. The temporary license will expire upon the issuance of a final order granting or denying Arialink Telecom, LLC's application for a permanent license. Arialink Telecom, LLC is headquartered in Lansing, Michigan.

**Case No. U-14383**  
**TruComm Corp v SBC Michigan**  
**(interconnection agreement dispute)**

On January 25, 2005, the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order dismissing the January 3, 2005, Complaint of TruComm Corporation against SBC Michigan. Although TruComm Corporation had alleged that SBC had violated the parties' interconnection agreement, the Commission found that no executed interconnection agreement had been submitted to the Commission for its approval. Because there was no interconnection agreement on file with the Commission, the Commission found that TruComm could not have a basis for a complaint.

\* \* \*

**NOTICES OF HEARING**

*Unless otherwise noted, all hearings are held at the offices of the Michigan Public Service Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 7, Lansing, Michigan.*

**Case No. U-14357**  
**Arialink Telecom LLC**  
**(license)**

The November 19, 2004 application of Arialink Telecom, LLC, for a license to provide basic local exchange service throughout the State of Michigan in the zone and exchange areas served by SBC Michigan, Verizon North, Incorporated, and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, is set for an evidentiary hearing at **9:30 a.m. on February 2, 2005**. The Michigan Public Service Commission Staff testimony, if any, shall be filed with the Commission or before January 19, 2005. Rebuttal testimony shall be filed with the Commission on or before January 26, 2005. Arialink Telecom, LLC, shall issue a notice of hearing in accordance with 1991 PA 179, as amended. Interventions are due on or before **January 28, 2005**. A copy of the notice of hearing and proofs of issuance shall be filed with the Commission by the hearing on February 2, 2005.

**Case No. U-14330**  
**American Broadband and Telecommunications Company**  
**(license)**

The October 28, 2004 application of American Broadband and Telecommunications Company, for temporary and permanent licenses to provide basic local exchange service throughout the State of Michigan in the zone and exchange areas served by SBC Michigan, Verizon North, Incorporated, Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, CenturyTel Midwest-Michigan, Inc., CenturyTel of Michigan, CenturyTel of Northern Michigan, Inc., CenturyTel of Upper Michigan, Inc., Frontier Communications of Michigan, Inc., Deerfield Farmer's Telephone Company, Ogden Telephone Company and Sand Creek Telephone Company, is set for an evidentiary hearing at **9:00 a.m. on February 2, 2005**. The Michigan Public Service Commission Staff testimony, if any, shall be filed with the Commission or before January 19, 2005. Rebuttal testimony shall be filed with the Commission on or before January 26, 2005. American Broadband and Telecommunications Company, shall issue a notice of hearing in accordance with 1991 PA 179, as amended. Interventions are due on or before **January 28, 2005**. A copy of the notice of hearing and proofs of issuance shall be filed with the Commission by the hearing on February 2, 2005.

**Case No. U-14359**  
**Norlight Telecommunications Inc**  
**(license)**

The November 23, 2004 application of Norlight Telecommunications, Inc. ("Norlight") for a license to provide local exchange service throughout the State of Michigan, is set for an evidentiary hearing at **9:00 a.m. on February 3, 2005**. Michigan Public Service Commission Staff testimony, if any, shall be filed with the Commission or before January 20, 2005. Rebuttal testimony shall be filed with the Commission on or before January 27, 2005. Norlight shall issue a notice of hearing in accordance with 1991 PA 179, as amended. Interventions are due on or before **February 1, 2005**. A copy of the notice of hearing and proofs of issuance shall be filed with the Commission by the hearing on February 3, 2005.

**Case No. U-14376**  
**XO Communications Services Inc**  
**(license)**

The December 3, 2004 application of XO Communications Services, Inc., for a license and temporary license to provide local exchange services throughout the State of Michigan in the exchange areas of Verizon North, Inc., Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and SBC Michigan, is set for an evidentiary hearing at **9:00 a.m. on February 8, 2005** at the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”). Staff testimony, if any, shall be filed with the Commission or before January 25, 2005. Rebuttal testimony shall be filed with the Commission on or before February 1, 2005. XO Communications Services, Inc., shall issue a notice of hearing in accordance with 1991 PA 179, as amended. **Interventions are due on or before February 3, 2005.** A copy of the notice of hearing and proofs of issuance shall be filed with the Commission by the hearing on February 8, 2005.

\* \* \*

**PSC HEARINGS SCHEDULE**

*Note: Unless indicated otherwise, hearings are held in the offices of the Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 7, Lansing.*

**Hearings Scheduled for Last Week (January 17-21, 2005)**

**Hearings Scheduled for This Week (January 24-28, 2005)**

**Future Hearings Scheduled**

February 1, 2005, 9:00 a.m.  
Case No. U-14319/motion hearing  
Himmelhoch v Sprint (slamming)

February 1, 2005, 9:00 a.m.  
Case No. U-14336/motion hearing  
AG Mike Cox v Sprint (slamming)

February 1, 2005, 9:00 a.m.  
Case No. U-14337 /motion hearing  
Willis v Sprint (slamming)

February 1, 2005, 9:00 a.m.  
Case No. U-14374/prehearing  
Lucre v SBC Michigan (ICA dispute)

February 1, 2005, 9:00 a.m.  
Case No. U-14379/motion hearing  
Batts v Sprint (slamming)

February 1, 2005, 9:00 a.m.  
Case No. U-14380/motion hearing  
Agee v Sprint (slamming)

February 2, 2005, 9:00 a.m.  
Case No. U-14330/evidentiary hearing  
American Broadband and Telecom (license)

February 2, 2005, 9:00 a.m.  
Case No. U-14357/evidentiary hearing  
Arialink Telecom (license)

February 3, 2005, 9:00 a.m.  
Case No. U-14359/evidentiary hearing  
Norlight Telecommunications (license)

## APPLICATIONS AND COMPLAINTS

### **Case No. U-12962**

#### **Adelphia Business Solutions Operations Inc and SBC Michigan (interconnection agreement)**

On January 11, 2005 Adelphia Business Solutions Operations, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly notified the Michigan Public Service Commission that the Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement (“Agreement”) filed January 10, 2005 as the Third Amendment is actually the Second Amendment to the Agreement.

### **Case No. U-13175**

#### **XO Michigan Inc and SBC Michigan (interconnection agreement)**

On January 11, 2005 XO Michigan, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the Seventh Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on January 8, 2002 (“Agreement”). The parties state that the Seventh Amendment, fully executed as of December 27, 2004, updates the Reciprocal Compensation provision in the Agreement in accordance with the requirements of the FCC’s interim ISP terminating compensation plan contained in the ISP Compensation Order.

### **Case No. U-13378**

#### **Comcast Phone of Michigan LLC and SBC Michigan (interconnection agreement)**

On January 11, 2005 Comcast Phone of Michigan, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of the MPSC September 21, 2004 Order Amendment (Second Amendment) and Pricing Schedule to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on July 10, 2002 (“Agreement”). The parties state that the Second Amendment and Pricing Schedule incorporate into the Agreement rates and charges based upon the TELRIC costs for unbundled network elements and interconnection services approved by the Commission in its order issued September 21, 2004 in Case No. U-13531. Further, the parties state that the Pricing Schedule contains all of the same rates and charges contained on the illustrative pricing schedule, as filed by SBC Michigan on November 5, 2004 (Compliance Filing) pursuant to the Commission’s order. The effective date of the rates and charges in the Pricing Schedule is the same as the tariff effective date, November 6, 2004.

### **Case No. U-13569**

#### **Talk America Inc and SBC Michigan (interconnection agreement)**

On January 11, 2005 Talk America, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the Second Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on November 7, 2002 (“Agreement”). The parties state that the Second Amendment, fully executed as of January 3, 2005, adds the Collocation Power as Attachment A to the Settlement Agreement into the Agreement.

**Case No. U-13710**  
**Z-Tel Communications Inc and SBC Michigan**  
**(interconnection agreement)**

On January 24, 2005 Z-Tel Communications, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the Fifth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on March 26, 2003 (“Agreement”). The Fifth Amendment, executed as of January 12, 2005, changes the name from Z-Tel Communications, Inc. to Trinsic Communications, Inc.

**Case No. U-13741**  
**Broadview Networks Inc and SBC Michigan**  
**(interconnection agreement)**

On January 14, 2005 Broadview Networks, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the MPSC September 21, 2004 Order Amendment (Third Amendment) and Pricing Schedule to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on May 2, 2003 (“Agreement”). The parties state that the Third Amendment and Pricing Schedule incorporate into the Agreement rates and charges based upon the TELRIC costs for unbundled network elements and interconnection services approved by the Commission in its order issued September 21, 2004 in Case No. U-13531. Further, the parties state that the Pricing Schedule contains all of the same rates and charges contained on the illustrative pricing schedule, as filed by SBC Michigan on November 5, 2004 (Compliance Filing) pursuant to the Commission’s order. The effective date of the rates and charges in the Pricing Schedule is the same as the tariff effective date, November 6, 2004.

**Case No. U-14117**  
**Ameritech Mobile Services, LLC, d/b/a SBC Paging, and SBC Michigan**  
**(interconnection agreement)**

On January 14, 2005 Ameritech Mobile Services, LLC, d/b/a SBC Paging, and SBC Michigan jointly requested the Michigan Public Service Commission to re-open and alter the docket by removing footnote 2 in the parties’ Joint “Application” filed along with the parties’ Interconnection Agreement filed on April 27, 2004. The parties state that footnote 2 was inadvertently and erroneously included in the Application.

On January 19, 2005 a second joint application to re-open and alter the docket was filed by Ameritech Mobile Communications, LLC and SBC Michigan to remove the Joint Application for Approval and the Third Amendment, which were inadvertently and erroneously filed in this docket on December 8, 2004 and approved by the Commission on December 21, 2004. Ameritech Mobile Communications, LLC and SBC now wish to withdraw the filing.

**Case No. U-14120**

**American Farm Bureau Inc, d/b/a The Farm Bureau Connection, and Verizon North Inc and Contel of the South Inc, d/b/a Verizon North Systems (interconnection agreement)**

On January 24, 2005 American Farm Bureau, Inc., d/b/a The Farm Bureau Connection, and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of the Amendment No. 1 to their Interconnection Agreement (“Agreement”). The parties state that Amendment No. 1 was voluntarily negotiated and amends the Agreement with respect to TRO Implementation.

**Case No. U-14176**

**Cinergy Communications Co and SBC Michigan (interconnection agreement)**

On January 11, 2005 Cinergy Communications Company and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of the MPSC September 21, 2004 Order Amendment (First Amendment) and Pricing Schedule to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on July 22, 2004 (“Agreement”). The parties state that the First Amendment and Pricing Schedule incorporate into the Agreement rates and charges based upon the TELRIC costs for unbundled network elements and interconnection services approved by the Commission in its order issued September 21, 2004 in Case No. U-13531. Further, the parties state that the Pricing Schedule contains all of the same rates and charges contained on the illustrative pricing schedule, as filed by SBC Michigan on November 5, 2004 (Compliance Filing) pursuant to the Commission’s order. The effective date of the rates and charges in the Pricing Schedule is the same as the tariff effective date, November 6, 2004.

**Case No. U-14412**

**CenturyTel Fiber Company II, LLC, d/b/a LightCore, a CenturyTel Company and SBC Michigan (interconnection agreement)**

On January 19, 2005 CenturyTel Fiber Company II, LLC, d/b/a LightCore, a CenturyTel Company, and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of their multi-state Interconnection Agreement executed as of December 30, 2004 (“Agreement”). The parties state that the Agreement was the result of good faith negotiations.

**Case No. U-14416**

**KMC Data LLC (interconnection agreement: notice of election)**

On January 19, 2005 KMC Data LLC filed with the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) a notice of its election of the Interconnection Agreement between MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC (“MCImetro”) and SBC Michigan, and requested an order acknowledging the adoption of same, effective December 8, 2004. KMC Data LLC states that although it has notified SBC Michigan by letter of its intent to adopt the MCImetro Agreement, it has been unable to reach agreement with SBC with regard to certain terms for the adopted agreement and is now exercising its adoption rights under the federal Telecommunications Act by making its filing directly with the Commission.

**Case No. U-14417**  
**KMC Telecom V LLC**  
**(interconnection agreement: notice of election)**

On January 20, 2005 KMC Telecom V LLC filed with the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) a notice of its election of the Interconnection Agreement between MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC (“MCImetro”) and SBC Michigan, and requested an order acknowledging the adoption of same, effective December 8, 2004. KMC Telecom V LLC states that although it has notified SBC Michigan by letter of its intent to adopt the MCImetro Agreement, it has been unable to reach agreement with SBC with regard to certain terms for the adopted agreement and is now exercising its adoption rights under the federal Telecommunications Act by making its filing directly with the Commission.

**Case No. U-14418**  
**KMC Telecom III LLC**  
**(interconnection agreement: notice of election)**

On January 20, 2005 KMC Telecom III LLC filed with the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) a notice of its election of the Interconnection Agreement between MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC (“MCImetro”) and SBC Michigan, and requested an order acknowledging the adoption of same, effective December 8, 2004. KMC Telecom III LLC states that although it has notified SBC Michigan by letter of its intent to adopt the MCImetro Agreement, it has been unable to reach agreement with SBC with regard to certain terms for the adopted agreement and is now exercising its adoption rights under the federal Telecommunications Act by making its filing directly with the Commission.

\* \* \*

**PSC NOTICES OF OPPORTUNITY FOR COMMENT**

**Case No. U-14303**  
**Competitive Local Exchange Carriers**  
**(Provision of UNEs)**

**Case No. U-14305**  
**SBC Michigan**  
**(Interconnection: Consolidated Change of Law)**

**Case No. U-14305**  
**Verizon North Inc and Contel of the South Inc d/b/a Verizon North Systems**  
**(Interconnection: Consolidated Change of Law)**

On November 9, 2004 the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an Order and Notice of Opportunity to Comment consolidating the September 30, 2004 Application and Request for Investigation filed by a coalition of Competitive Local Exchange Carriers seeking an to have the Commission examine the continued provision of Unbundled Network Elements (“UNEs”) under state and federal law (See: MTR Vol 20, No 21), the September 30, 2004 Application of SBC Michigan (See:

MTR Vol 20, No 21) and the October 26, 2004 Application of Verizon North, Inc, and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems (“Verizon”) (See MTR Vol 20, No 23) seeking to have the Commission adopt and impose Consolidated Change of Law Provisions on existing Interconnection Agreements to reflect the FCC and United States Court of Appeals decisions regarding continued availability of UNEs. As part of that Order, the Commission established a schedule for comment on the three Applications. Written and electronic comments may be filed with the Commission and must be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on December 22, 2004**. Reply comments may be filed with the Commission and must be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on January 18, 2005**. Comments received in this matter will become public information.

**Case No. U-14371**  
**Chatham Telephone Company**  
**(amended license)**

On November 30, 2004, Chatham Telephone Company filed an application with the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for authority to: 1) (a) offer expanded local calling from the Trenary exchange to the exchanges defined as the Perkins, Rapid River, and Rock exchanges in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan and to the exchanges defined as the Munising and Hiawatha Forest exchanges in the filed tariffs of the Hiawatha Telephone Company and to the exchange defined as the Chatham exchange in the filed tariffs of the Chatham Telephone Company, (b) offer expanded local calling from the Chatham exchange to the exchange defined as the Munising exchange in the filed tariffs of Hiawatha Telephone Company, and to the exchanges defined as the Skandia and Sand River exchanges in the filed tariffs of Chatham Telephone Company, (c) offer expanded local calling from the Au Train exchange to the exchange defined as the Munising exchange in the filed tariffs of Hiawatha Telephone Company and to the Sand River exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of the Chatham Telephone Company, (d) offer expanded calling from the Sand River exchange to the exchanges defined as the Chatham, Au Train, and Skandia exchanges in the filed tariffs of the Chatham Telephone Company, and (e) offer expanded local calling from the Skandia exchange to the exchanges defined as the Gwinn and Rock exchanges in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan and to the exchanges defined as the Chatham, Trenary, and Sand River exchanges in the filed tariffs of Chatham Telephone Company, 2) implement a rate adjustment in its basic exchange rates related to the provision of such expanded local calling and, 3) block 1+ originating toll calling from the exchanges of interest to the expanded local calling area. The Commission directs Chatham Telephone Company to issue a notice in accordance with the Michigan Telecommunications Act, 1991 PA 179, as amended. In addition, SBC Michigan, Hiawatha Telephone Company and all 1+ toll providers in the exchanges of interest must be noticed. Written and electronic comments may be filed with the Commission and must be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on January 31, 2005**. All comments should reference Case No. U-14371. Comments received in this matter will become public information.

**Case No. U-14372**  
**Shiawassee Telephone Company**  
**(amended license)**

On November 30, 2004, Shiawassee Telephone Company filed an application with the Michigan Public Service Commission for authority to: 1) (a) offer expanded local calling to customers in the Perry exchange to the exchanges defined as the Bancroft, Laingsburg, Owosso, and Williamston exchanges in the defined tariffs of Verizon North, Inc. and to the exchange defined as the Fowlerville exchange in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, (b) offer expanded local calling to customers in the Bell Oak exchange to the exchange defined as the Bancroft exchange in the filed tariffs of Verizon North, Inc. and to the

Lansing exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, (c) offer expanded local calling to customers in the Shaftsbury exchange to the exchanges defined as the Bath, Laingsburg, Owosso, and Williamston exchanges in the filed tariffs of Verizon North, Inc., 2) implement a rate adjustment in its basic exchange rates related to the provision of such expanded local calling and, 3) block 1+ originating toll calling from the exchanges of interest to the expanded local calling area. The Commission directs Shiawassee Telephone Company to issue a notice in accordance with the Michigan Telecommunications Act, 1991 PA 179, as amended. In addition, Verizon North, Inc., SBC Michigan, and all 1+ toll providers in the exchanges of interest must be noticed. Written and electronic comments may be filed with the Commission and must be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on January 31, 2005**. All comments should reference Case No. U-14372. Comments received in this matter will become public information.

**Case No. U-14373**  
**Wolverine Telephone Company**  
**(amended license)**

On November 30, 2004, Wolverine Telephone Company filed an application with the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for authority to: 1) (a) offer expanded local calling to the customers in the Fostoria exchange to the exchange defined as the North Branch exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of Verizon North, Inc. (b) offer expanded local calling to the customers in the Millington exchange to the exchanges defined as the Clio/Mt. Morris, Birch Run, Frankenmuth, Vassar, and Mayville exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan and to the exchanges defined as the Otisville and Columbiaville exchanges in the filed tariffs of Verizon North, Inc., (c) offer expanded local calling to customers in the Munger exchange to exchanges defined as the Fairgrove, Reese, and Saginaw exchanges in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, (d) offer expanded local calling to the customers in the Sanford exchange to the exchange defined as the Hope exchange in the filed tariffs of CenturyTel of Michigan and the exchange defined as the Coleman exchange in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, 2) implement a rate adjustment in its basic exchange rates related to the provision of such expanded local calling and, 3) block 1+ originating toll calling from the exchanges of interest to the expanded local calling area. The Michigan Public Service Commission directs Wolverine Telephone Company to issue a notice in accordance with the Michigan Telecommunications Act, 1991 PA 179, as amended. In addition, Verizon North, Inc., CenturyTel of Michigan and all 1+ toll providers in the exchanges of interest must be noticed. Written and electronic comments may be filed with the Commission and must be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on January 31, 2005**. All comments should reference Case No. U-14373. Comments received in this matter will become public information.

**Case No. U-14381**  
**Blanchard Telephone Association Inc**  
**(expanded local calling and rate adjustment)**

On December 10, 2004, Blanchard Telephone Association, Inc. (“Blanchard”) filed an application with the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for authority to: 1) offer expanded local calling from the Blanchard exchange to the exchanges defined as the Edmore, Mt. Pleasant, Lakeview, Remus, Six Lakes, and Weidman exchanges in the filed tariffs of Verizon North and to the exchange defined as the Winn exchanges in the filed tariffs of Winn Telephone Company and to the exchange defined as the Mecosta exchange in the filed tariffs of CenturyTel Midwest-Michigan, 2) implement a rate adjustment in its basic exchange rates related to the provision of such expanded local calling, and 3) block 1+ originating toll calling from the Blanchard exchange to the expanded local calling area. The Commission directs Blanchard to issue a notice in accordance with the Michigan

Telecommunications Act, 1991 PA 179, as amended. In addition, Verizon North, Inc., Winn Telephone Company, and CenturyTel Midwest-Michigan and all 1+ toll providers in the Blanchard exchange must be noticed. Comments may be filed with the Commission and must be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on February 8, 2005**. Written comments should be sent to the: Executive Secretary, Michigan Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 30221, Lansing, Michigan 48909. Electronic comments may be e-mailed to: [mpscefilecases@michigan.gov](mailto:mpscefilecases@michigan.gov). Comments received in this matter will become public information.

\* \* \*

**2005 REGULAR COMMISSION MEETING DATES\***

<b>Month &amp; Date</b>	<b>Day</b>	<b>Time</b>
February 24	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
March 29	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
April 28	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
May 17	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
June 7	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
June 30	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
July 19	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
August 16	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
September 20	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
October 18	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
November 3	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
December 20	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.

\*Special meetings may be scheduled, as needed, on 18 hours notice.

**SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION**

Annual subscription rates (U.S. currency): single subscription: \$400; each additional subscription within the same firm: \$175. Please make checks payable to Clark Hill PLC and mail orders to Circulation Mgr., Clark Hill, PLC, 212 E. Grand River Avenue, Lansing, MI 48906-4328, or fax to (517) 318-3099. You can reach us by phone at (517) 318-3100.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Company: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City, State, Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone number: \_\_\_\_\_

I prefer to receive the **MTR** by email in Acrobat Reader format.  
E-mail address \_\_\_\_\_

I prefer to continue receiving the **MTR** in hard copy by mail.