

MICHIGAN **T**ELECOMMUNICATIONS **R**EPORT™

A CLARK HILL PLC Publication, Roderick S. Coy*, Editor

Vol. 21, No. 15, July 29, 2005

In this issue . . .

page no.

2

- **FCC announces joint Fed/State VOIP enhanced 911 enforcement task force**

- **Remarks by FCC Chair Martin to NARUC summer meeting**

6 Pending Legislation

8 Public Acts of 2005

9 Orders

11 Notices of Hearing

12 PSC Hearings Schedule

13 Applications and Complaints

14 Opportunities for Comment

16 Special MPSC meeting agenda

17 PSC Meetings

17 MTR Subscription Information

MICHIGAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS REPORT (ISSN 08980357) is published every other week by Clark Hill PLC., 212 East Grand River Avenue, Lansing, Michigan 48906-4328. Although considerable care is exercised in reporting critical information (filing and hearing dates, etc.), such information should be confirmed with the original source. This newsletter should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general informational purposes only, and you are urged to consult a lawyer concerning your own situation and any specific legal questions you may have. Editor, Roderick S. Coy; Assistant Editor, Kristine M. Clements; Contributors, Haran C. Rashes and Leland R. Rosier; Annual Subscription \$400.00 (US).

*** MR. COY IS A MEMBER
IN THE CLARK HILL PLC LAW FIRM
DETROIT • BIRMINGHAM • LANSING**

COPYRIGHT © 2005

INDEX OF CASES HIGHLIGHTED

ORDERS:

U-11098 – SBC/MCImetro Access Transmission Services: ICA

U-11178 – SBC/MCImetro Access Transmission Services: ICA

U-12465 – SBC/AT&T Communications: ICA

U-12465 – SBC/TCG Detroit Holdings I: ICA

U-13258 – SBC/Zenk Group Ltd., d/b/a Planet Access: ICA

U-13758 – SBC/MCImetro Access Transmission Services: ICA

U-13871 – Verizon/Ernest Communications: ICA

U-13887 – SBC/Ernest Communications: ICA

U-14565 – CenturyTel/New Cingular Wireless PCS: ICA

U-14586 - Sand Creek Telephone and Michiana Metronet d/b/a Centennial Wireless: ICA

U-14587 - Springport Telephone Company and Michiana Metronet d/b/a Centennial Wireless: ICA

U-13931 - TelNet Worldwide/Verizon: arbitration ICA

U-14478 - Bright House Networks Information Services (Michigan): license

U-14539 - Ronald W. Meyers v United Telecom: unauthorized charges

continued on page 2

**CLARK HILL PLC
212 East Grand River Avenue
Lansing, MI 48906-4328
(517) 318-3100 – phone
(517) 318-3099 –fax**

continued from page 1

APPLICATIONS AND COMPLAINTS:

- U-14579 NationsLine Michigan Inc: eligible carrier designation
- U-14592 PhoneCo LP/SBC: ICA
- U-14595 Trans National Communications International/Verizon: ICA
- U-14596 Pigeon Telco/Michiana Metronet: ICA
- U-14597 Chapin Telco/Michiana: ICA
- U-14598 TDS Metrocom/Choice One Group: ICA
- U-14599 TDS Metrocom/Verizon: ICA
- U-14602 TDS Metrocom/Nextel West: ICA

FEATURES

Note: The Federal Communications Commission issued the following press release on July 25, 2005.

**FCC ANNOUNCES JOINT
FEDERAL/STATE
VOIP ENHANCED 911
ENFORCEMENT TASK FORCE**

Austin, TX: FCC Chairman Kevin J. Martin today announced the creation of an FCC-NARUC Task Force on VoIP Enhanced 911 (E911) enforcement. Staff from both the FCC and State Public Utility Commissions will serve as members, working closely with representatives from the public safety community, including the Association of Public Safety Communications Officials (APCO) and the National Emergency Number Association (NENA).

The Task Force was created to facilitate the timely and effective enforcement of the Commission's VoIP E911 rules. Working together, the federal and state Task Force members will look at developing educational materials to ensure that consumers understand their rights and the requirements of the FCC's VoIP E911 Order and rules and how best to expedite compliance and facilitate enforcement, where necessary. The Task Force will also compile data and share best practices.

"One of the FCC's core missions is to promote public safety. Our VoIP E911 rules are critical to achieving that goal," said Chairman Martin in announcing formation of the Task Force. "I look forward to working with my colleagues in the states to advance our common goal that all Americans, no matter their provider, have access to life-saving emergency 911 services."

FCC and NARUC Task Force members will be named shortly.

Adopted on May 19, 2005, the Commission's VoIP E911 rules require interconnected VoIP providers to:

- Deliver all 911 calls to the customer's local emergency operator;
- Give emergency operators the call back number and location information of their customers where the emergency operator is capable of receiving it; and
- Inform their customers of their E911 capabilities and limitations of their service.

-FCC-

Text As Prepared: Remarks by Chairman Kevin J. Martin
Federal Communications Commission
To the NARUC Summer Meeting
Austin, TX, July 26, 2005

Thank you very much, Diane. It is a pleasure to be here this morning. It has been just over 4 months since I assumed the Chairmanship. I can't tell you how many times during that time people have asked me about my "vision" of where the industry is headed. Each time, I am hesitant to respond.

At almost every turn, even the most forward-looking individuals have failed to accurately predict the development of technology. For example, Ken Olson, founder of Digital Equipment Corporation, once concluded that "there is no reason for any individual to have a computer in their home."

And it is not just technologists themselves who have wrongly predicted the development of communications. When Alexander Graham Bell patented his telephone in 1876 and subsequently tried to sell it, Western Union politely declined. Their reason? They said that "this 'telephone' has too many shortcomings to be seriously considered as a means of communication."

So with some trepidation -- I looked up the word "vision" -- and discovered that it really means "an imaginary or supernatural sight beheld in sleep."

Seriously though, what people appear to mean when they talk about "vision" is some forward-thinking ideal of what the industry and regulatory landscape ought to look like in the future.

Though I cannot predict the future, I can tell you about what I would like to see in the future.

Advances in technology are leading to a convergence of multiple platforms. This development of intermodal competition is fundamentally changing the way that both carriers and their customers use telecommunications and technologies. Given these market changes, we can move towards a more deregulated, competitive environment. One undistorted by regulatory arbitrage and artificial distinctions. And one with competition leading to higher quality, more innovative services, and cheaper rates. Such an environment should be governed by a flexible policy framework that promotes broadband infrastructure investment and is technologically neutral.

And what does this future tell us about how we should face our problems today?

The Commission right now is facing its share of difficult challenges:

- The universal service mechanism is breaking. The method for carriers to contribute into the fund is outdated. It doesn't adequately account for the increase in bundled service offerings, the migration to wireless and VoIP services, and the shrinking long distance market. Similarly, the way that the funds are distributed is fraught with complexity. These problems that exist with the universal service fund are far reaching, affecting several industries – wireline, wireless, and cable.

- The intercarrier compensation scheme is breaking. The existing scheme is simply unsustainable in a competitive environment characterized by bundles and mobility. Like universal service, the problems in this area do not affect just wireline carriers, but all different types of providers including wireless, cable, and even VoIP.
- We also suffer from a market-distorting lack of regulatory certainty in the broadband market. Most prominently, for some time there has been a lack of regulatory parity between telcos and cable in their provision of broadband. This lack of a level-playing field in the market complicates investment decisions and has undoubtedly inhibited broadband deployment in the United States.

I don't think that any of these statements surprise you. At least they shouldn't. These regimes have been under strain for a long time. It won't be easy to fix them. But I believe that, together, we can develop a plan to address the regulatory barriers that stand in the way.

We need to devise creative solutions to the seemingly intractable problems that plague the industry today. Will we be successful? I hope so. All I can promise you is that I am willing to embrace the challenges that currently confront us.

So how are we going to solve these seemingly intractable problems? First, we need to establish our priorities:

- With respect to fixing universal service, it is critical that there be a sufficient and sustainable mechanism to collect funds in an efficient manner. This mechanism, above all, must ensure that people who live in rural and high-cost areas continue to receive service at affordable rates. • With respect to intercarrier compensation, the Commission must adopt a rational and unified approach that replaces the current patchwork of rules. Any new framework must remove the opportunities for regulatory arbitrage and provide incentives for efficient investment decisions.
- With respect to broadband, we should place all broadband providers on equal footing so that they can fairly compete in the marketplace – not in front of regulators. They will then have the incentives to invest the capital necessary to make 21st century broadband capabilities available to all American consumers.
- There is one other guiding principle that I believe should govern any attempt to accomplish these objectives: technological and competitive neutrality. What does this mean? All providers of the same service should be treated in the same manner regardless of the technology that they employ. We should be striving for regulatory parity between services as well as between service providers.

Let me apply these priorities to the various areas I mentioned above.

With respect to universal service, for quite some time, I have urged the Commission to begin assessing contributions primarily based on working telephone numbers rather than interstate revenue. There are several advantages to this approach:

- First, from a legal standpoint, the Commission has clear statutory authority over all telephone numbers.

- Second, a methodology based on telephone numbers is easy to administer – we already know the base of existing telephone numbers.
- Third, this method is competitively and technology neutral – any phone service that uses a telephone number must contribute to universal service. This would be a far cry from the existing regime that assesses each provider differently, or, in some cases, not at all.
- Fourth, this approach would be readily understandable by consumers who would pay the same rate regardless of whether they received their phone service from a cable provider, VoIP provider, or a wireless or wireline provider.
- Finally, a telephone number-based methodology promotes and enhances telephone number conservation. As many state Commissioners can attest, number exhaust is an increasing problem that this might help resolve.

While I recognize that this solution is not perfect, it is a significant step in the right direction and would not require legislation like other proposed solutions such as assessing inter- and intrastate revenue.

With respect to intercarrier compensation, we must move to a single unitary rate for all the different types of traffic – wireless, wireline, VoIP, local, long distance, interstate, intrastate. In today’s converging IP world, these distinctions are unsustainable and create opportunities for people to game the system.

**NARUC PRESIDENT, COMMISSIONER DIANE MUNNS,
APPOINTS NORTH DAKOTA COMMISSIONER TONY CLARK
TO CHAIR TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE**

The following press release was issued by NARUC on July 26, 2005:

WASHINGTON, DC – July 6, 2005 - NARUC President Diane Munns has appointed Commissioner Tony Clark of the North Dakota Public Service Commission to serve as Chair of the Telecommunications Committee for the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC). Commissioner Clark is filling the vacancy left by Commissioner Robert Nelson of Michigan, who recently retired from public service. Commissioner Clark has been serving as Vice Chair for the committee.

After signing the appointment letter, President Munns stated: “I am pleased to have the opportunity to announce the appointment of Commissioner Tony Clark as Chair of NARUC’s Telecommunications Committee. Commissioner Clark has both the dedication and knowledge needed to expertly guide the crucial activities of this committee. I look forward to working even more closely with Commissioner Clark in his new role.”

President Munns continued: “I also wish to thank Commissioner Nelson for his service. NARUC has

greatly benefited from his dedication and expertise. We wish him the best of luck in his future endeavors.”

* * *

PENDING LEGISLATION

The following is a list of active telecommunications bills that have been introduced during the current legislative session. Copies of bills and public acts referred to in this column may be obtained by contacting your state senator or representative, on the Michigan Legislature Web site, <<http://www.michiganlegislature.org/>> or from the Legislative Service Bureau Document Room at (517) 373-0169.

Senate Bill # Senator	Date Introduced	Description	Status
SB 48 Johnson	1/25/05	State; authorities; issuance of bonds for equipment associated with telecommunications on the campuses of Michigan institutions of higher education with lease options back to the institutions and the state; provide for. Amends sec. 7 of 1964 PA 183 (MCL 830.417).	To Senate Committee on Appropriations
SB 58 Cherry	1/25/05	Communications; telecommunications; 9-1-1 system to pinpoint caller location; provide for. Amends 1986 PA 32 (MCL 484.1101 – 484.1717) by adding sec. 408a	To Senate Committee on Technology and Energy
SB 127 Hardiman	2/1/05	Communications; cellular telephone; customer consent to list numbers in a cell phone directory; require. Amends 1991 PA 179 (MCL 484.2101 - 484.2701) by adding sec. 360a.	To Senate Committee on Technology and Energy
SB 221 McManus	2/17/05	Income tax; credit; tax credit for placement of a cell phone tower on an individual's property located in an underserved area; provide for. Amends 1967 PA 281 (MCL 206.1 - 206.532) by adding sec. 262.	5/25/05 passed Senate with Sub S-2, yeas 27, nays 9, excused 2, not voting 0; 5/25/05 referred to Committee on Tax Policy
SB 222 Barcia	2/17/05	Single business tax; credit; tax credit for companies that construct cell phone towers in rural areas; establish. Amends 1975 PA 228 (MCL 208.1 - 208.145) by adding sec. 35c.	5/25/05 passed Senate with Sub S-1, yeas 28, nays 9, excused 1, not voting 0; referred to House Committee on Tax Policy
SB 224 Van Woerkom	2/17/05	Communications; telecommunications; broadband development authority; require to designate a certain amount of financial assistance to rural underserved	6/7/05 passed, yeas 32, nays 2, excused 4, not voting 0; referred to House Committee on Energy and Technology

		areas. Amends sec. 7 of 2002 PA 49 (MCL 484.3207).	
SB 528 Johnson	5/24/05	Communications; telecommunications; regulation of telecommunications industry; eliminate. Creates new act.	To Senate Committee on Technology and Energy
SB 551 Patterson	5/21/05	Communications; telecommunications; rule making and eliminate sunset of the Michigan telecommunications act; provide for. Repeals enacting section 1, rulemaking provision, of 2004 PA 591.	6/28/05 passed House, yeas 106, nays 1; 6/30 given immediate effect and ordered enrolled;
SB 595 Switalski	6/16/05	Use tax; rate; certain telecommunication services and motion picture films; include in taxable items. Amends sec. 3a of 1937 PA 94 (MCL 205.93a).	6/16 referred to Senate Committee on Appropriations
SCR 0021 Patterson	5/18/05	A concurrent resolution to urge the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to use its authority post haste to require that Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP) service providers make enhanced 9-1-1 emergency call services available to all customers.	To Senate Committee on Technology and Energy

House Bill # Representative	Date Introduced	Description	Status
HB 4050 Lemmons III	1/27/05	Corrections; state facilities; telephone system for state correctional facilities; impose requirements. Amends 1953 PA 232, entitled "Corrections code of 1953," (MCL 791.201 to 791.283) by adding section 69b.	To House Committee on Judiciary
HB 4052 Lemmons III	1/27/05	Trade; consumer goods and services; sale of cellular telephones and pagers to a minor; require written parental consent. A bill to prohibit the sale of cellular telephones and pagers to certain minors without written parental consent; and to prescribe civil sanctions.	To House Committee on Judiciary
HB 4067 Schuitmaker	1/27/05	Communications; telecommunications; requirement for timely transfer of customers from 1 provider to another; provide for. A bill to amend 1991 PA 179, entitled "Michigan telecommunications act," (MCL 484.2101 to 484.2701) by adding section 364.	To House Committee on Energy and Technology

House Bill # Representative	Date Introduced	Description	Status
HB 4397 Sak	2/23/05	Communications; telecommunications; cell phone numbers listed with directory assistance; provide for. Amends sec. 309 of 1991 PA 179 (MCL 484.2309).	To House Committee on Energy and Technology
HB 4423 Wojno	3/1/05	Prohibits telemarketers from leaving certain messages requesting consumers to return call. Am. Sec. 1c, PA 227, 1971 (MCL 445.111c) as added by PA 612, 2002.	To House Committee on Energy and Technology
HB 4563 Garfield	3/24/05	A bill to repeal 2002 PA 49, entitled "Michigan broadband development authority act," (MCL 484.3201 to 484.3225).	To House Committee on Energy and Technology
HB 4600 Drolet	4/13/05	Communications; telecommunications; regulation of telecommunications industry; eliminate. Creates new act entitled the "communications act" to be effective 01/01/06. A bill to promote deployment of and investment in communications services in this state; and to prescribe the powers and duties of certain state agencies and officials.	To House Committee on Energy and Technology
HB 4952	6/16/05	Use tax; rate; certain telecommunication services and motion picture films; include in taxable items. Amends sec. 3a of 1937 PA 94 (MCL 205.93a).	To House Committee on Tax Policy

PUBLIC ACTS OF 2005

Listed below are Public Acts related to telecommunications that have been passed during the current legislative session (2005-2006). Copies of public acts may be obtained by contacting your state senator or representative, on the Michigan Legislature Web site, <<http://www.michiganlegislature.org/>> or from the Legislative Service Bureau Document Room at (517) 373-0169.

Public Act No.	Effective Date	Description	Enrolled Bill No. and Sponsor
2005 PA 58	6/30/2005	Communications; telecommunications; rule making and eliminate sunset of the Michigan telecommunications act; provide for. Repeals enacting section 1 of 2004 PA 591.	SB 551 Patterson

2005 PA 103	07/22/05	Public utilities, other; use of highway by public utilities; provide for under certain conditions. Amends sec. 13 of 1925 PA 368 (MCL 247.183).	SB 522 McManus
-------------	----------	---	-------------------

ORDERS

The following MPSC orders were issued on July 19, 2005.

Case No. U-11098

SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC (as successor in interest to the local operations of MCI Worldcom Communications, Inc.)

Application filed June 29, 2005 for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises reciprocal compensation terms and extends the term of the agreement to June 30, 2007)

Case No. U-11178

SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC (as successor in interest to Brooks Fiber Communications of Michigan, Inc.)

Application filed June 29, 2005 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises reciprocal compensation terms and extends the term of the agreement to June 30, 2007)

Case No. U-12465

SBC Michigan and AT&T Communications of Michigan, Inc.

Application filed June 22, 2005 for approval of the fifteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds resale branding language and pricing).

Case No. U-12465

SBC Michigan and TCG Detroit Holdings I, Inc.

Application filed June 22, 2005 for approval of a fourteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds resale branding language and pricing)

Case No. U-13258

SBC Michigan and Zenk Group Ltd., d/b/a Planet Access

Application filed June 28, 2005 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (incorporates TELRIC costs into the agreement, per the order in Case No. U-13531)

Case No. U-13758

**SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC
Application filed June 29, 2005 for approval of a fifth amendment to
an interconnection agreement (revises reciprocal compensation
terms and extends the term of the agreement to June 30, 2007)**

Case No. U-13871

**Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon
North Systems, and Ernest Communications, Inc.
Application filed June 13, 2005 for approval of an interconnection
agreement and a first amendment (TRO withdrawal of services)**

Case No. U-13887

**SBC Michigan and Ernest Communications, Inc.
Application filed June 15, 2005 for approval of a first amendment
(revision of pricing schedule per TELRIC)**

Case No. U-14565

**CenturyTel Midwest-Michigan, Inc., CenturyTel of Michigan, Inc.,
CenturyTel of Northern Michigan, Inc., and CenturyTel of Upper
Michigan, Inc. (collectively, CenturyTel), and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
Application filed on June 27, 2005 for approval of an interconnection
agreement (adoption of terms and conditions of the interconnection
and reciprocal compensation agreement dated April 5, 2001
between Verizon Wireless Personal Communications, Limited
Partnership, d/b/a Verizon Wireless, and CenturyTel that was
approved by the Commission in an order issued on June 5, 2001 in
Case No. U-12931)**

Case No. U-14586

**Sand Creek Telephone Company and Michiana Metronet, Inc., d/b/a
Centennial Wireless
Application filed July 6, 2005 for approval of an interconnection and
reciprocal compensation agreement**

Case No. U-14587

**Springport Telephone Company and Michiana Metronet, Inc., d/b/a
Centennial Wireless
Application filed July 6, 2005 for approval of an interconnection and
reciprocal compensation agreement.**

On July 19, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order approving the interconnection agreements and amendments listed above.

Case No. U-13931
TelNet Worldwide Inc
(interconnection agreement: arbitration w/Verizon)

On July 19, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order approving the interconnection agreement between TelNet Worldwide, Inc. and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems.

Case No. U-14478
Bright House Networks Information Services (Michigan) LLC
(license)

On July 19, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order granting to Bright House Networks Information Services (Michigan), LLC, a license to provide basic local exchange service in the areas currently served by Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and SBC Michigan.

Case No. U-14539
Ronald W. Meyers v United Telecom Inc
(consumer complaint: unauthorized charges)

On July 10, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order dismissing with prejudice the complaint of Ronald W. Meyers against United Telecom Inc., alleging unauthorized charges in violation of the Michigan Telecommunications Act. On June 24, 2005 the complainant filed a request to withdraw the complaint with prejudice.

* * *

NOTICES OF HEARING

Unless otherwise noted, all hearings are held at the offices of the Michigan Public Service Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 7, Lansing, Michigan

Case No. U-14563
Pigeon Telephone Company v Verizon North Inc.
(local number portability dispute)

The Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) will consider the complaint of Pigeon Telephone Company (“Complainant”) against Verizon North, Inc. (“Verizon”). A public hearing in this matter will be held at **9:00 a.m. on August 2, 2005**. This hearing will be a prehearing conference to set future hearing dates and decide other procedural matters. On June 27, 2005, Complainant filed a formal complaint, against (Verizon) to resolve a dispute over local number portability. The Complainant is also requesting the Commission to enter an Emergency Relief Order, imposition of fines, order compensatory payment of \$1,000 per month for damages and economic losses, and reimbursement of attorney fees and actual costs.

Case No. U-14564

Jerome H. Schober v IDT America Corporation

(consumer complaint: false, misleading and deceptive representation)

The Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) will consider the complaint of Jerome H. Schober (“Complainant”) against IDT America Corporation (“IDT America Corp.”), for violations of the Michigan Telecommunications Act. A public hearing in this matter will be held at **9:00 a.m. on August 4, 2005**. On June 24, 2005 Complainant filed a formal complaint, against IDT America Corp., alleging IDT America Corp., is in violation of Section 502 of the Michigan Telecommunications Act (MTA) for false, misleading and deceptive representation, and omission of material and information regarding the rates, terms, and conditions of services provided. Complainant is requesting the Commission) to grant relief through reimbursement of \$474.56.

Case No. U-14566

Christine F. Belles v Talk America Inc.

(consumer complaint: false, misleading and deceptive representation)

The Michigan Public Service Commission will consider the complaint of Christine F. Belles (“Complainant”) against Talk America Inc., for violations of the Michigan Telecommunications Act. • A public hearing in this matter will be held at **9:00 a.m. on August 3, 2005**. On June 29, 2005, Complainant filed a formal complaint, against Talk America Inc., alleging Talk America Inc. is in violation of Section 502 of the Michigan Telecommunications Act (MTA) for false, misleading and deceptive representation, regarding the rates, terms, and conditions of services provided. Complainant is requesting the Commission grant relief in accordance with section 601 of the MTA, including, but not limited to reimbursement of her economic losses totaling \$901.30, imposition of fines, revocation of license, and the entry of a Cease and Desist Order.

* * *

PSC HEARINGS SCHEDULE

Note: *Unless indicated otherwise, hearings are held in the offices of the Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 7, Lansing.*

Hearings Scheduled for Last Week (July 18 - 22, 2005)

July 19, 2005, 9:00 a.m.
Case No. U-14469/evidentiary hearing
C A Networks (license)

Hearings Scheduled for This Week (July 25 – 29, 2005)

July 20, 2005, 9:00 a.m.
Case No. U-14534/public hearing
Ronald & Angela Nikolits v Close Call America
(slamming)

July 20, 2005, 9:00 a.m.
Case No. U-14536/evidentiary hearing
BellSouth Long Distance Inc (license)

Future Hearings Scheduled

August 2, 2005, 9:00 a.m.

Case No. U-14553/prehearing conference
Pigeon Telephone Co v Verizon North Inc (LNP dispute)

August 4, 2005, 9:00 a.m.

Case No. U-14564/public hearing
Jerome H. Schober v IDT America Corp

August 3, 2005, 9:00 a.m.

Case No. 14566/public hearing
Christine F. Belles v Talk America

APPLICATIONS AND COMPLAINTS

Case No. U-14579

**NationsLine Michigan Inc
(eligible telecom carrier designation)**

On July 22, 2005 NationsLine Michigan, Inc. filed an application with the Michigan Public Service Commission for designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier throughout its licensed service area within the state of Michigan for the purposes of receiving universal service support in Michigan.

Case No. U-14592

**PhoneCo LP and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)**

On July 19, 2005 PhoneCo, LP and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of their Interconnection Agreement, executed as of June 20, 2005.

Case No. U-14595

**Trans National Communications International Inc and
Verizon North Inc and Contel of the South Inc, d/b/a Verizon North Systems
(interconnection agreement)**

On July 20, 2005 Trans National Communications International, Inc. and Verizon North Inc., Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of their Interconnection Agreement.

Case No. U-14596

**Pigeon Telephone Company and Michiana Metronet d/b/a Centennial Wireless
(interconnection agreements)**

On July 20, 2005 Pigeon Telephone Company and Michiana Metronet d/b/a Centennial Wireless jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of their Interconnection and Reciprocal Compensation Agreement, arrived at through voluntary negotiations.

Case No. U-14597

**Chapin Telephone Company and Michiana Metronet d/b/a Centennial Wireless
(interconnection agreements)**

On July 20, 2005 Chapin Telephone Company and Michiana Metronet d/b/a Centennial Wireless jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of their Interconnection and Reciprocal Compensation Agreement, arrived at through voluntary negotiations.

Case No. U-14598

**TDS Metrocom LLC and The Choice One Group of Companies
(interconnection agreement)**

On July 21, 2005 TDS Metrocom LLC and The Choice One Group of Companies jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of their Interconnection Agreement, fully executed as of April 19, 2005

Case No. U-14599

**TDS Metrocom LLC and Verizon Wireless
(interconnection agreement)**

On July 21, 2005 Verizon Wireless and TDS Metrocom LLC jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of their Interconnection Agreement, full executed as of January 1, 2005.

Case No. U-14602

**TDS Metrocom LLC and Nextel West Corp
(interconnection agreement)**

On July 25, 2005 TDS Metrocom LLC and Nextel West Corp. jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of their Interconnection Agreement, fully executed as of July 5, 2005.

* * *

PSC NOTICES OF OPPORTUNITY FOR COMMENT

Case No. U-14588

**Upper Peninsula Telephone Company
(expanded local calling)**

On July 8, 2005, Upper Peninsula Telephone Company filed an application with the Michigan Public Service Commission for authority to: 1) offer expanded local calling from the Amble exchange to the Coral and Morley exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC and to the Howard City and Lakeview exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of Verizon North Incorporated, 2) offer expanded local calling from the Carney exchange to the Bark River, Escanaba, Hermansville, Powers, and Stephenson exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC, and to the Wallace and Faithorn exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of UPTel, 3) offer expanded local calling from the Chester exchange to the Gaylord, Grayling, and Lewiston exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of Verizon North Inc., 4) offer expanded local calling from the Donken exchange to the Alston and Tapiola exchanges as defined in the

filed tariffs of Baraga Telephone Company and to the Mass and Ontonagon exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of Ontonagon County Telephone Company, 5) offer expanded local calling from the Drummond Island exchange to the Cedarville, Kinross, and Pickford exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of CenturyTel, and to the Sault Ste. Marie, and St. Ignace exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC, 6) offer expanded local calling from the Faithorn exchange to the Carney exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of Verizon North Incorporated and to the Hermansville, and Norway exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, 7) offer expanded local calling from the Felch exchange to the Bark River, Channing, Cornell, Gwinn, Hermansville, Iron Mountain, Norway, Powers, and Republic exchanges as described in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, and to the Michigamme Forest and Watson exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of the UP Telephone Company, 8) offer expanded local calling from the Fence River exchange to the Amasa, Channing, Crystal Falls, Michigamme, and Republic exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, and to the L'Anse exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of Baraga Telephone Company, and to the Watton exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of Midway Telephone Company, 9) offer expanded local calling from the Grace Harbor exchange to the Cheboygan exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan and to the Onaway and Rogers City exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of Verizon North Incorporated, 10) offer expanded local calling from the Lake Gogebic exchange to the Bergland and Watersmeet exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan and to the Bruce Crossing, and Ewen exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of Ontonagon County Telephone Company, 11) offer expanded local calling from the Manistee River exchange to the Grayling and Higgins Lake exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of Verizon North Incorporated, to the Kalkaska and Mancelona exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, to the Lake of the North exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of Pigeon Telephone Company, to the Moorestown exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of CenturyTel, and to the South Boardman exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of Ace Telephone Company, 12) offer expanded local calling from the Marenisco exchange to the Bergland, Bessemer, Ironwood, Wakefield, and Watersmeet exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan and to the Bruce Crossing exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of Ontonagon County Telephone Company, 13) offer expanded local calling from the Michigamme Forest exchange to the Gwinn, Ishpeming, Negaunee, and Republic exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan and to the Felch and Watson exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of the UP Telephone Company, 14) offer expanded local calling from the North Land O' Lakes exchange to the Golden Lake exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of Midway Telephone Company, to the Smokey Lake exchange as defined in the defined tariffs of UP Telephone Company, and to the Watersmeet exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, 15) offer expanded local calling from the Rexton exchange to the Brevort, Engadine, Newberry, and Trout Lake exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan and to the Eckerman and Hulbert exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of Hiawatha Telephone Company, 16) offer expanded local calling from the Scott Point exchange to the Engadine exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan and to the Gulliver exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of CenturyTel, 17) offer expanded local calling from the Smoky Lake exchange to the Golden Lake exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of Midway Telephone Company, to the Iron River exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, and to the North Land O' Lakes exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of UP Telephone Company, 18) offer expanded local calling from the Wallace exchange to the Carney exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of Verizon North Incorporated, and to the Escanaba and Menominee exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, and 19) offer expanded local calling from the Watson exchange to the Cornell, Gwinn and Rock exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan and to the Felch and Michigamme Forest exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of UP Telephone Company. The Michigan Public Service Commission directs Upper Peninsula Telephone Company to issue a notice in accordance with the Michigan Telecommunications Act, 1991 PA 179, as amended. In addition, Ace Telephone

Company, Baraga Telephone Company, Ontonagon County Telephone Company, Midway Telephone Company, Pigeon Telephone Company, Hiawatha Telephone Company, CenturyTel and all 1+ toll providers in the exchanges must be noticed. The MPSC further directs that comments may be filed with the Commission and must be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on September 8, 2005**. Written comments should be sent to the: Executive Secretary, Michigan Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 30221, Lansing, Michigan 48909. Electronic comments may be e-mailed to: mpscefilecases@michigan.gov. All comments should reference Case No. U-14588. Comments received in this matter will become public information.

Case No. U-14562
CenturyTel of Michigan, Inc.
(amended license)

On June 24, 2005, CenturyTel of Michigan, Inc. (CenturyTel) filed an application with the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval to amend the geographic area of its license to provide basic local exchange service. CenturyTel requests authority for a boundary revision to portions of its boundary in Lake Ann, Glen Lake, and Homer exchanges. The company requests authority to move a portion of its Lake Ann exchange to its Glen Lake exchange and move another portion of its Lake Ann exchange to its Homer exchange. CenturyTel shall issue notice of its request by August 2, 2005. Written and electronic comments may be filed with the Commission and must be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on August 23, 2005**. Written comments should be sent to the: Executive Secretary, Michigan Public Service Commission, P.O. Box 30221, Lansing, Michigan 48909. Electronic comments may be e-mailed to: mpscefilecases@michigan.gov. All comments should reference Case No. U-14562. Comments received in this matter will become public information.

* * *

SPECIAL COMMISSION MEETING

The MPSC announced on Friday, July 29, 2005, that a **SPECIAL COMMISSION MEETING** will be held on **August 1, 2005 at 1:30 p.m.** in Hearing Room E. The following Communications cases appear on the Agenda:

1. U-11830 - SBC Michigan (performance measures)
2. U-13079 - William and Sandra Rovas v SBC Michigan, f/k/a Ameritech Michigan
3. U-13785 - Lucre, Inc. v SBC Michigan (billing)
4. U-14374 - Lucre, Inc. v SBC Michigan
- U-14384 - SBC Michigan v Lucre, Inc.
5. U-14435 - Commission's Own Motion (rehearing)
6. U-14435 - Commission's Own Motion (rulemaking)
7. U-14494 - Osirus Communications, Inc. (license)
8. U-14497 - David Hepp v ACN Communication Services, Inc.
9. U-14499 - Sprint Communications Company LP (rates)

2005 REGULAR COMMISSION MEETING DATES*

Month & Date	Day	Time
August 16	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
September 20	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
October 18	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
November 3	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
December 20	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.

*Special meetings may be scheduled, as needed, on 18 hours' notice.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

Annual subscription rates (U.S. currency): single subscription: \$400; each additional subscription within the same firm: \$175. Please make checks payable to Clark Hill PLC and mail orders to Circulation Mgr., Clark Hill, PLC, 212 E. Grand River Avenue, Lansing, MI 48906-4328, or fax to (517) 318-3099. You can reach us by phone at (517) 318-3100.

Name: _____

Title: _____

Company: _____

Address: _____

City, State, Zip: _____

Phone number: _____

E-mail address _____