

MICHIGAN **T**ELECOMMUNICATIONS **R**EPORT™

A CLARK HILL PLC Publication, Roderick S. Coy*, Editor

Vol. 21, No. 1, January 14, 2005

In this issue . . .

page no.

- 2 Features
 - **MPSC deregulates rates for business local exchange service**
 - **511 dial code feasibility study scheduled**
- 4 Pending Legislation
- 4 Public Acts of 2004
- 4 Orders
- 5 Notices of Hearing
- 7 PSC Hearings Schedule
- 7 Applications and Complaints
- 13 Opportunities for Comment
- 15 PSC Meetings
- 16 MTR Subscription Information

MICHIGAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS REPORT (ISSN 08980357) is published every other week by Clark Hill PLC., 2455 Woodlake Circle, Okemos, Michigan 48864-5941. Although considerable care is exercised in reporting critical information (filing and hearing dates, etc.), such information should be confirmed with the original source. This newsletter should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general informational purposes only, and you are urged to consult a lawyer concerning your own situation and any specific legal questions you may have. Editor, Roderick S. Coy; Assistant Editor, Kristine M. Clements; Contributors, Haran C. Rashes and Leland R. Rosier; Annual Subscription \$400.00 (US).

INDEX OF CASES HIGHLIGHTED

ORDERS:

orders issued January 6, 2005

U-14313 SBC Michigan: amended license

U-14323 SBC Michigan: market classification

U-14324 SBC Michigan: market classification

orders issued January 14, 2005

U-14382 ACD Telecom v SBC Michigan

APPLICATIONS AND COMPLAINTS

U-11098 MCI WorldCom/SBC: ICA

U-11178 Brooks Fiber/SBC: ICA

U-12774 PaeTec/SBC: ICA

U-12798 Focal Communications/SBC: ICA

U-12902 Lucre/Verizon: ICA

U-12952 TDS Metrocom/SBC: ICA

U-12962 Adelphia Business Solutions/SBC: ICA

U-13003 US Xchange/SBC: ICA

U-13758 MCImetro Access/SBC: ICA

U-13788 CloseCall America/SBC: ICA

U-13845 Metro Teleconnect/SBC: ICA

U-13985 Global Connection/SBC: ICA

U-14222 Winn Telco/SBC: ICA

U-14383 TruComm v SBC: Flex ANI dispute

U-14395 Dobson Cellular/TDS Companies: ICA

U-14396 Comcast Phone/Verizon: ICA

U-14387 American Broadband/Verizon: ICA

U-14398 Norlight Telecom/SBC: ICA

U-14405 Kentucky Data Link: license

U-14407 Levy v SBC: violations of MTA

New address and phone number

*** MR. COY IS A MEMBER
IN THE CLARK HILL PLC LAW FIRM
DETROIT • BIRMINGHAM • LANSING**

COPYRIGHT © 2005

**Clark Hill PLC
212 East Grand River Avenue
Lansing, MI 48906
(517) 318-3100
<http://www.clarkhill.com>**

FEATURES

MPSC DEREGULATES RATES FOR BUSINESS LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE IN METRO DETROIT AREA

Note: The MPSC issued the following press release on January 6, 2005.

The Michigan Public Service Commission (MPSC) today issued an order granting SBC Michigan's request to deregulate rates for business local exchange service in its Access Area A (Detroit, Royal Oak and Southfield exchanges) for a one year trial period. The Commission also scheduled a pre-hearing conference to determine whether SBC Michigan's request to deregulate its business basic local exchange service in Access Area B and its residential basic local exchange service in Access Areas A and B should be granted. The exchanges in Access Areas A and B are located in the metropolitan Detroit, Lansing and Grand Rapids areas.

"The MPSC today is taking a measured approach in determining whether a competitive telecommunications market exists in the most populated areas of Michigan and will vigorously monitor the situation," said MPSC Chair J. Peter Lark. "My fellow Commissioners and I will carefully watch what happens during the next year with local exchange service before we make that declaration permanent, and will ensure that needed safeguards, such as 9-1-1, are in place to protect Michigan telephone customers."

SBC Michigan on Oct. 26, 2004, filed a notice with the Commission claiming that a competitive market exists for business and residential local exchange service in all exchanges served by SBC in Access Areas A and B. Section 208 of the Michigan Telecommunications Act authorizes a telecommunications provider to notify the Commission when it believes a competitive market exists. The Commission on Nov. 9, 2004 issued an order seeking comments on SBC's filing.

Noting concerns raised by MPSC staff and competitive local exchange carriers, the MPSC in today's order only granted SBC's request to classify as competitive its business basic local exchange service in Access Area A for a one year trial period, provided that certain safeguards are put into effect and remain in place.

From Jan. 7, 2005 through Jan. 6, 2006, rates charged by SBC Michigan and all other providers in Access Area A will be deregulated. However, other aspects of their service will remain subject to all other applicable regulations, including licensing regulations, local calling areas, lifeline, E-911, dual party relay service, 976 blocking, handicapped exemptions, and other provisions of the Michigan Telecommunications Act that impose specific obligations on local exchange service providers. Providers are also subject to the applicable provisions of the Federal Telecommunications Act.

In addition, the Commission during the one year trial period will assess the effects of the Federal Communications Commission's phase-out of UNE-P to determine whether to make its competitive declaration permanent. Therefore, the MPSC is directing SBC Michigan and all CLECs affected by its order to submit, on an access area basis, confidential quarterly reports on line counts and other data currently collected by MPSC staff only once a year.

To determine whether SBC Michigan's business local exchange service in Access Area B and residential local exchange service in Access Areas A and B are competitive, the Commission has

scheduled a pre-hearing conference, open to all interested parties, at 9 a.m. on Jan. 13 at its Lansing office.

* * *

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SCHEDULES 511 FEASIBILITY STUDY MEETING

Note: MDOT issued the following press release on January 10, 2005.

Invitation to Attend a Telecommunications Roundtable to Discuss 511 Call Routing in Michigan

In July of 2000, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) assigned 511 for nationwide access to travel information services. 511 is an abbreviated dialing code for travelers to access highway, multimodal, and other pertinent travel information via landline or wireless phones. The Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) is taking the lead for a feasibility study and Statewide Strategic Plan for 511 in the state of Michigan, and is currently assessing information sharing logistics, technical and institutional requirements of a 511 service, and other factors that could support or impact 511 implementation and operations in the state. Routing landline and wireless 511 calls will require the participation of telecommunications carriers that operate in the state. Call routing is key to implementing a 511 service in Michigan, and input from the telecommunications industry at this stage of the planning process will play a key role in shaping the recommendations and potential strategies. MDOT invites you to an important meeting to discuss and provide input on 511 telecommunications routing issues in Michigan:

**Thursday, February 3, 2005
2:00 PM – 4:00 PM
Michigan DOT, Metro Region Headquarters
18101 W. Nine Mile Road, Southfield, MI 48075
Large Conference Room**

The meeting will be a working session where information is to be shared between the telephone companies and MDOT for 511 call routing issues. There will be a brief presentation at the beginning of the meeting, but the focus will be on getting input from the telecommunications companies and answering questions you might have about potential telecommunications issues for 511 in Michigan. Although MDOT is in the feasibility and assessment phase, the input and feedback received from telecommunications providers at this stage in the 511 planning process will result in a more comprehensive approach to documenting and addressing call routing issues. MDOT requests that attendees be prepared to talk about any questions or concerns that they may have with respect to 511 call routing in Michigan including switching, coverage areas, cost recovery, and other factors that MDOT should consider from a telecommunications perspective for implementing 511. If you plan on attending this 511 Telecommunications Roundtable, please RSVP by January 25th, 2005 to Michael Grandy at 602-906-1335 or Michael.Grandy@kimley-horn.com.

* * *

PENDING LEGISLATION

There are currently no pending telecommunications bills that have been introduced during the current legislative session (2005-2006). Copies of bills and public acts referred to in this column may be obtained by contacting your state senator or representative, on the Michigan Legislature Web site, <<http://www.michiganlegislature.org/>> or from the Legislative Service Bureau Document Room at (517) 373-0169.

PUBLIC ACTS OF 2004

Public Act #	Effective Date	Description	Bill Number and Sponsor
PA 89	4/27/04	Law enforcement; communications; 9-1-1 funding for state police towers; provide for. Amends sec. 408 of 1986 PA 32 (MCL 484.1408).	Enrolled SB 1015 Cherry D - District 26
PA 515	1/3/2005	Law enforcement; communications; alternate uses for 9-1-1 databases; allow. Amends sec. 317 of 1986 PA 32 (MCL 484.1317) & adds sec. 317a	Enrolled SB 1267 Gilbert R – District 25
PA 561	1/3/2005	Communications; telecommunications; notification of long-distance charges to customer using a pay telephone; require. Amends 1991 PA 179 (MCL 484.2101 – 484.2701) by adding sec. 312c.	Enrolled HB 4187 Anderson D – District 18
PA 591	1/4/2005	Communications; telecommunications; rule making; provide for. Amends sec. 213 of 1991 PA 179 (MCL 484.2213).	Enrolled HB 6337 Bradstreet R-District 105

ORDERS

Orders issued January 6, 2005: Special Commission Meeting

Case No. U-14313
SBC Michigan
(amended license)

On January 6, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an order directing SBC to revise its Flint exchange boundary to include part of the Rankin exchange currently served by Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems and amending SBC license to provide basic local exchange service to reflect the boundary revision.

Case No. U-14323
SBC Michigan
(market classification - business)

Case No. U-14324
SBC Michigan
(market classification – residential)

On January 6, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) issued an order declaring SBC Michigan’s business basic local exchange service in Access Area A is competitive for the purposes of a one-year trial period. However, SBC’s request to classify its business basic local exchange service in Access Area B and its request to classify its residential basic local exchange service in Access Areas A and B were set for a hearing at 9:00 a.m. on January 13, 2005. Further, the Commission ordered SBC Michigan and all competitive local exchange carriers affected by this order to submit reports to the Commission on a quarterly basis on line counts and other such competitive data that is currently collected by the Commission Staff on an annual basis. The first report is to be filed April 17, 2005, with subsequent reports filed July 15, 2005, October 17, 2005, and January 16, 2006. (See also **Features**, page 2, this issue.)

Order issued January 14, 2006 Special Commission Meeting

Case No. U-14382
ACD Telecom Inc v SBC Michigan
(interconnection dispute: revocation of line sharing)

On January 14, 2005 the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) issued an order denying the request of ACD Telecom, Inc. (“ACD”) for emergency relief pertaining to ACD’s request that the Commission order SBC Michigan to continue service to current ling-sharing customers and to accept new orders for the HFPL while this case is pending pursuant to Section 203 of the Michigan Telecommunications Act. The Commission states in its order that ACD has available to it the means to purchase at least an equivalent of the HFPL from SBC to continue its business operations, but adds that should ACD prevail after a hearing in this case, the Commission may grant it relief in the form of the difference between the commercial price for the HFPL and its contract price.

* * *

NOTICES OF HEARING

Unless otherwise noted, all hearings are held at the offices of the Michigan Public Service Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 7, Lansing, Michigan.

Case No. U-14357
Arialink Telecom LLC
(license)

The November 19, 2004 application of Arialink Telecom, LLC, for a license to provide basic local exchange service throughout the State of Michigan in the zone and exchange areas served by SBC Michigan, Verizon North, Incorporated, and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, is

set for an evidentiary hearing at **9:30 a.m. on February 2, 2005**. The Michigan Public Service Commission Staff testimony, if any, shall be filed with the Commission or before January 19, 2005. Rebuttal testimony shall be filed with the Commission on or before January 26, 2005. Arialink Telecom, LLC, shall issue a notice of hearing in accordance with 1991 PA 179, as amended. Interventions are due on or before **January 28, 2005**. A copy of the notice of hearing and proofs of issuance shall be filed with the Commission by the hearing on February 2, 2005.

Case No. U-14330
American Broadband and Telecommunications Company
(license)

The October 28, 2004 application of American Broadband and Telecommunications Company, for temporary and permanent licenses to provide basic local exchange service throughout the State of Michigan in the zone and exchange areas served by SBC Michigan, Verizon North, Incorporated, Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, CenturyTel Midwest-Michigan, Inc., CenturyTel of Michigan, CenturyTel of Northern Michigan, Inc., CenturyTel of Upper Michigan, Inc., Frontier Communications of Michigan, Inc., Deerfield Farmer's Telephone Company, Ogden Telephone Company and Sand Creek Telephone Company, is set for an evidentiary hearing at **9:00 a.m. on February 2, 2005**. The Michigan Public Service Commission Staff testimony, if any, shall be filed with the Commission or before January 19, 2005. Rebuttal testimony shall be filed with the Commission on or before January 26, 2005. American Broadband and Telecommunications Company, shall issue a notice of hearing in accordance with 1991 PA 179, as amended. Interventions are due on or before **January 28, 2005**. A copy of the notice of hearing and proofs of issuance shall be filed with the Commission by the hearing on February 2, 2005.

Case No. U-14359
Norlight Telecommunications Inc
(license)

The November 23, 2004 application of Norlight Telecommunications, Inc. ("Norlight") for a license to provide local exchange service throughout the State of Michigan, is set for an evidentiary hearing at **9:00 a.m. on February 3, 2005**. Michigan Public Service Commission Staff testimony, if any, shall be filed with the Commission or before January 20, 2005. Rebuttal testimony shall be filed with the Commission on or before January 27, 2005. Norlight shall issue a notice of hearing in accordance with 1991 PA 179, as amended. Interventions are due on or before **February 1, 2005**. A copy of the notice of hearing and proofs of issuance shall be filed with the Commission by the hearing on February 3, 2005.

* * *

PSC HEARINGS SCHEDULE

Note: *Unless indicated otherwise, hearings are held in the offices of the Commission, 6545 Mercantile Way, Suite 7, Lansing.*

Hearings Scheduled for Last Week (January 3-7, 2005)

January 5, 2005, 9:00 a.m. Case No. U-14351/evidentiary hearing Ballard's Plumbing and Heating v United Telecom	January 6, 2005, 9:00 a.m. Case No. U-14312/evidentiary hearing Paul Kreys v McLeodUSA Telecommunications Svcs
---	--

Hearings Scheduled for This Week (January 10-14, 2005)

January 11, 2005, 9:00 a.m. Case No. U-14335/evidentiary hearing NationsLine Michigan (license)	January 13, 2005, 9:00 a.m. Case Nos. U-14323; U-14324/prehearing SBC Michigan (market classification)
---	--

Future Hearings Scheduled

February 2, 2005, 9:00 a.m. Case No. U-14330/evidentiary hearing American Broadband and Telecom (license)	February 2, 2005, 9:00 a.m. Case No. U-14357/evidentiary hearing Arialink Telecom (license)
	February 3, 2005, 9:00 a.m. Case No. U-14359/evidentiary hearing Norlight Telecommunications (license)

* * *

APPLICATIONS AND COMPLAINTS

Case No. U-11098 MCI WorldCom Communications Inc. and SBC Michigan (interconnection agreement)

On January 6, 2005 MCI WorldCom Communications Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the MPSC September 21, 2004 Order Amendment and related Pricing Schedule ("Seventh Amendment") to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on December 20, 1999 ("Agreement"). The Seventh Amendment incorporates into the Agreement rates and charges based upon SBC Michigan's compliance filings of November 5 and 16, 2004 in Case No. U-13531 pursuant to the Commission's order issued in that case on September 21, 2004. The parties state that the effective date of the rates and charges in the Pricing Schedule is the same as the tariff effective date, November 6, 2004.

Case No. U-11178 Brooks Fiber Communications of Michigan Inc and SBC Michigan (interconnection agreement)

On January 6, 2005 Brooks Fiber Communications of Michigan, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the MPSC September 21, 2004 Order Amendment and related Pricing Schedule ("Sixth Amendment") to their

Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on November 26, 1996 (“Agreement”). The Sixth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement rates and charges based upon SBC Michigan’s compliance filings of November 5 and 16, 2004 in Case No. U-13531 pursuant to the Commission’s order issued in that case on September 21, 2004. The parties state that the effective date of the rates and charges in the Pricing Schedule is the same as the tariff effective date, November 6, 2004.

Case No. U-12774
PaeTec Communications Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On January 5, 2005 PaeTec Communications, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the MPSC September 21, 2004 Order Amendment and related Pricing Schedule (“Third Amendment”) to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on March 7, 2001 (“Agreement”). The Third Amendment to the Agreement incorporates into the Agreement rates and charges based upon the TELRIC costs for unbundled network elements and interconnection services approved by the Commission in its order issued September 21, 2004 in Case No. U-13531. The parties state that the Pricing Schedule contains all of the same rates and charges contained on the illustrative pricing schedule, as filed by SBC Michigan on November 5, 2004 (Compliance Filing) pursuant to the Commission’s Order, and that the effective dates of the rates and charges is the same as the tariff effective date, November 6, 2004.

Case No. U-12798
Focal Communications Corp of Michigan
and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On January 10, 2005 Focal Communications Corporation of Michigan and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the MPSC September 21, 2004 Order Amendment and related Pricing Schedule (“Sixth Amendment”) to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on March 29, 2001 (“Agreement”). The Sixth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement rates and charges based upon the TELRIC costs for unbundled network elements and interconnection services approved by the Commission in its order issued September 21, 2004 in Case No. U-13531. The parties state that the Pricing Schedule contains all of the same rates and charges contained on the illustrative pricing schedule, as filed by SBC Michigan on November 5, 2004 (Compliance Filing) pursuant to the Commission’s Order, and that the effective dates of the rates and charges is the same as the tariff effective date, November 6, 2004.

Case No. U-12902
Lucre Inc and Verizon North Inc and Contel of the South Inc
d/b/a Verizon North Systems
(interconnection agreement)

On January 4, 2005 Lucre, Inc. and Verizon North, Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North System, jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the First Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on June 5, 2001 (“Agreement”). The parties state that the First Amendment, effective

November 8, 2004, among other things, amends the terms and conditions of the Agreement with respect to the CLEC Amendment Type.

Case No. U-12952
TDS Metrocom LLC and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On January 5, 2005 TDS Metrocom, LLC and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the Fourth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on December 20, 2001 (“Agreement”). The Fourth Amendment, fully executed as of December 21, 2004, changes the name of TDS Metrocom, Inc. to TDS Metrocom, LLC.

Case No. U-12962
Adelphia Business Solutions Operations Inc
and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On January 10, 2005 Adelphia Business Solutions Operations Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the MPSC September 21, 2004 Order Amendment and related Pricing Schedule (“Third Amendment”) to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on July 11, 2001 (“Agreement”). The Third Amendment incorporates into the Agreement rates and charges based upon the TELRIC costs for unbundled network elements and interconnection services approved by the Commission in its order issued September 21, 2004 in Case No. U-13531. The parties state that the Pricing Schedule contains all of the same rates and charges contained on the illustrative pricing schedule, as filed by SBC Michigan on November 5, 2004 (Compliance Filing) pursuant to the Commission’s Order, and that the effective dates of the rates and charges is the same as the tariff effective date, November 6, 2004.

Case No. U-13003
US Xchange of Michigan LLC, d/b/a Choice One Communications
and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)

On January 5, 2005 US Xchange of Michigan, LLC, d/b/a Choice One Communications, and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the MPSC September 21, 2004 Order Amendment and related Pricing Schedule (“Second Amendment”) to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on September 7, 2001 (“Agreement”). The Second Amendment incorporates into the Agreement rates and charges based upon the TELRIC costs for unbundled network elements and interconnection services approved by the Commission in its order issued September 21, 2004 in Case No. U-13531. The parties state that the Pricing Schedule contains all of the same rates and charges contained on the illustrative pricing schedule, as filed by SBC Michigan on November 5, 2004 (Compliance Filing) pursuant to the Commission’s Order, and that the effective dates of the rates and charges is the same as the tariff effective date, November 6, 2004.

Case No. U-13758**MCImetro Access Transmission Services and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)**

On January 6, 2005 MCImetro Access Transmission Services and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the MPSC September 21, 2004 Order Amendment and related Pricing Schedule (“Third Amendment”) to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on December 18, 2003 (“Agreement”). The Third Amendment incorporates into the Agreement rates and charges based upon SBC Michigan’s compliance filings of November 5 and 16, 2004 in Case No. U-13531 pursuant to the Commission’s order issued in that case on September 21, 2004. The parties state that the effective date of the rates and charges in the Pricing Schedule is the same as the tariff effective date, November 6, 2004.

Case No. U-13788**CloseCall America Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)**

On January 10, 2005 CloseCall America, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the MPSC September 21, 2004 Order Amendment and related Pricing Schedule (“Third Amendment”) to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on June 16, 2003 (“Agreement”). The Third Amendment incorporates into the Agreement rates and charges based upon the TELRIC costs for unbundled network elements and interconnection services approved by the Commission in its order issued September 21, 2004 in Case No. U-13531. The parties state that the Pricing Schedule contains all of the same rates and charges contained on the illustrative pricing schedule, as filed by SBC Michigan on November 5, 2004 (Compliance Filing) pursuant to the Commission’s Order, and that the effective dates of the rates and charges is the same as the tariff effective date, November 6, 2004.

Case No. U-13845**Metro Teleconnect Companies Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)**

On January 5, 2005 Metro Teleconnect Companies, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the Fourth Amendment to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on August 18, 2003 (“Agreement”). The parties state that the Fourth Amendment, fully executed as of December 22, 2004, deletes Section 4.1 of the Resale Appendix from the Agreement and replaces it with Appendix 911 Surcharge.

Case No. U-13985**Global Connection Inc of America and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)**

On January 7, 2005 Global Connection Inc. of America and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for approval of the MPSC September 21, 2004 Order Amendment and related Pricing Schedule (“First Amendment”) to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on January 22, 2004 (“Agreement”). The First Amendment incorporates into the Agreement rates and charges based upon the TELRIC costs for unbundled network elements and interconnection services approved by the Commission in its order

issued September 21, 2004 in Case No. U-13531. The parties state that the Pricing Schedule contains all of the same rates and charges contained on the illustrative pricing schedule, as filed by SBC Michigan on November 5, 2004 (Compliance Filing) pursuant to the Commission's Order, and that the effective dates of the rates and charges is the same as the tariff effective date, November 6, 2004.

Case No. U-14222

**Winn Telephone Company, d/b/a Winn Telecom and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)**

On January 4, 2005 Winn Telephone Company, d/b/a Winn Telecom, and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") for approval of the MPSC September 21, 2004 Order Amendment and related Pricing Schedule ("Ninth Amendment") to their Interconnection Agreement previously approved by the Commission on August 31, 2004 ("Agreement"). The Ninth Amendment incorporates into the Agreement rates and charges based upon the TELRIC costs for unbundled network elements and interconnection services approved by the Commission in its order issued September 21, 2004 in Case No. U-13531. The parties state that the Pricing Schedule contains all of the same rates and charges contained on the illustrative pricing schedule, as filed by SBC Michigan on November 5, 2004 (Compliance Filing) pursuant to the Commission's Order, and that the effective dates of the rates and charges is the same as the tariff effective date, November 6, 2004.

Case No. U-14383

**TruComm Corp v SBC Michigan
(Flex ANI dispute)**

On January 3, 2005 TruComm Corporation ("TruComm") filed a formal complaint and a request for emergency relief with the Michigan Public Service Commission ("Commission") against SBC Michigan alleging that SBC has "refused to provide TruComm with the Flex ANI feature in its switches for the TruComm lines using unbundled network elements platform ("UNE-P") facilities. TruComm states that the Federal Communication Commission ("FCC") has required local exchange carriers (LECs) to install Flex ANI software in their switches as a feature that attaches payphone specific coding digits to the data stream of a call that originates from a smart payphone in order to identify to telecommunications carriers and resellers that the call originated from a payphone. TruComm states that it had subscriptions from payphone service providers to subscribe over 3,000 lines to payphones to TruComm's local exchange services using SBC's UNE for the transmission of end to end telecommunications services, known as UNE-P. TruComm asserts that under its interconnection agreement with SBC, SBC is required to provide UNE-P services and the Flex ANI switch feature for same pursuant to the Commission's Arbitration Order of February 17, 2004, however, although TruComm submitted new requests and service orders for Flex ANI feature on over 3,000 lines, SBC continues to refuse to provide those services and has refused to submit and file with the Commission the interconnection agreement ordered by the Commission's Arbitration Order. TruComm says that SBC's refusal to comply with their interconnection agreement has cause TruComm to suffer economic losses, and seeks Commission orders directing SBC to submit and file the interconnection agreement previously ordered by the Commission and for emergency relief.

Case No. U-14395

**Dobson Cellular Systems Inc and Chatham Telephone Co,
Communications Corp of Michigan, Island Telephone Co,
Shiawassee Telephone Co and Wolverine Telephone Co
(interconnection agreement)**

On January 6, 2005 Dobson Cellular Systems, Inc. and Chatham Telephone Company, Communications Corporation of Michigan, Island Telephone Company, Shiawassee Telephone Company, and Wolverine Telephone Company (collectively, TDS Companies) jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of a Wireless Interconnection Agreement, effective August 16, 2004.

Case No. U-14396

**Comcast Phone of Michigan LLC, d/b/a Comcast Digital Phone,
and Verizon North Inc, and Contel of the South Inc, d/b/a Verizon North Systems
(interconnection agreement)**

On January 3, 2005 Comcast Phone of Michigan, LLC, d/b/a Comcast Digital Phone, (“CDP”) and Verizon North, Inc., and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems (collectively, “Verizon”) submitted a joint petition to the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for its review regarding the adoption of their Interconnection Agreement (“Agreement”). The parties state that CDP agreed to adopt the terms of the interconnection agreement between Verizon and AT&T Communications of Michigan, Inc., previously approved by the Commission in Case No. U-11165. Further, on January 3, 2005 CDP and Verizon jointly submitted to the Commission Amendment Number One to their Agreement. The parties state that Amendment Number One, among other things, amends the terms and conditions of the Agreement with respect to reciprocal compensation.

Case No. U-14397

**American Broadband and Telecommunications and
Verizon North Inc, and Contel of the South Inc, d/b/a Verizon North Systems
(interconnection agreement)**

On January 3, 2005 American Broadband and Telecommunications (“AB&T”) and Verizon North, Inc., and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems (collectively, “Verizon”) jointly petitioned the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for its review regarding the adoption of their Interconnection Agreement. The parties state that AB&T agreed to adopt the terms of the interconnection agreement between Verizon and AT&T Communications of Michigan, Inc., previously approved by the Commission in Case No. U-11165.

Case No. U-14398

**Norlight Telecommunications Inc and SBC Michigan
(interconnection agreement)**

On January 10, 2005 Norlight Telecommunications, Inc. and SBC Michigan jointly applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for approval of their multi-state Interconnection Agreement, including the Interim Order Exclusion Temporary Rider, which was executed as of December 21, 2004.

Case No. U-14405
Kentucky Data Link Inc
(license)

On January 6, 2005 Kentucky Data Link, Inc. (“KDL”) applied to the Michigan Public Service Commission for a license to provide basic local exchange service in those areas in which SBC Michigan and Verizon North, Inc., and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, are the incumbent local exchange carriers. KDL states that it is a Kentucky corporation with headquarters in Evansville, Indiana.

Case No. U-14407
Gloria L. Levy v SBC Michigan
(consumer complaint: violations of MTA)

On January 5, 2005 Gloria L. Levy (“Complainant”), of Southfield, filed a formal complaint with the Michigan Public Service Commission alleging that SBC Michigan failed to properly repair her telephone service in a timely manner and shut off her service without notice. Complainant states that she was forced to purchase an alternative telephone service (cellular phone) while her land line service was not in service.

* * *

PSC NOTICES OF OPPORTUNITY FOR COMMENT

Case No. U-14303
Competitive Local Exchange Carriers
(Provision of UNEs)

Case No. U-14305
SBC Michigan
(Interconnection: Consolidated Change of Law)

Case No. U-14305
Verizon North Inc and Contel of the South Inc d/b/a Verizon North Systems
(Interconnection: Consolidated Change of Law)

On November 9, 2004 the Michigan Public Service Commission issued an Order and Notice of Opportunity to Comment consolidating the September 30, 2004 Application and Request for Investigation filed by a coalition of Competitive Local Exchange Carriers seeking an to have the Commission examine the continued provision of Unbundled Network Elements (“UNEs”) under state and federal law (See: MTR Vol 20, No 21), the September 30, 2004 Application of SBC Michigan (See: MTR Vol 20, No 21) and the October 26, 2004 Application of Verizon North, Inc, and Contel of the South, Inc. d/b/a Verizon North Systems (“Verizon”) (See MTR Vol 20, No 23) seeking to have the Commission adopt and impose Consolidated Change of Law Provisions on existing Interconnection Agreements to reflect the FCC and United States Court of Appeals decisions regarding continued availability of UNEs. As part of that Order, the Commission established a schedule for comment on the three Applications. Written and electronic comments may be filed with the Commission and must be

received no later than **5:00 p.m. on December 22, 2004**. Reply comments may be filed with the Commission and must be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on January 18, 2005**. Comments received in this matter will become public information.

Case No. U-14371
Chatham Telephone Company
(amended license)

On November 30, 2004, Chatham Telephone Company filed an application with the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for authority to: 1) (a) offer expanded local calling from the Trenary exchange to the exchanges defined as the Perkins, Rapid River, and Rock exchanges in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan and to the exchanges defined as the Munising and Hiawatha Forest exchanges in the filed tariffs of the Hiawatha Telephone Company and to the exchange defined as the Chatham exchange in the filed tariffs of the Chatham Telephone Company, (b) offer expanded local calling from the Chatham exchange to the exchange defined as the Munising exchange in the filed tariffs of Hiawatha Telephone Company, and to the exchanges defined as the Skandia and Sand River exchanges in the filed tariffs of Chatham Telephone Company, (c) offer expanded local calling from the Au Train exchange to the exchange defined as the Munising exchange in the filed tariffs of Hiawatha Telephone Company and to the Sand River exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of the Chatham Telephone Company, (d) offer expanded calling from the Sand River exchange to the exchanges defined as the Chatham, Au Train, and Skandia exchanges in the filed tariffs of the Chatham Telephone Company, and (e) offer expanded local calling from the Skandia exchange to the exchanges defined as the Gwinn and Rock exchanges in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan and to the exchanges defined as the Chatham, Trenary, and Sand River exchanges in the filed tariffs of Chatham Telephone Company, 2) implement a rate adjustment in its basic exchange rates related to the provision of such expanded local calling and, 3) block 1+ originating toll calling from the exchanges of interest to the expanded local calling area. The Commission directs Chatham Telephone Company to issue a notice in accordance with the Michigan Telecommunications Act, 1991 PA 179, as amended. In addition, SBC Michigan, Hiawatha Telephone Company and all 1+ toll providers in the exchanges of interest must be noticed. Written and electronic comments may be filed with the Commission and must be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on January 31, 2005**. All comments should reference Case No. U-14371. Comments received in this matter will become public information.

Case No. U-14372
Shiawassee Telephone Company
(amended license)

On November 30, 2004, Shiawassee Telephone Company filed an application with the Michigan Public Service Commission for authority to: 1) (a) offer expanded local calling to customers in the Perry exchange to the exchanges defined as the Bancroft, Laingsburg, Owosso, and Williamston exchanges in the defined tariffs of Verizon North, Inc. and to the exchange defined as the Fowlerville exchange in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, (b) offer expanded local calling to customers in the Bell Oak exchange to the exchange defined as the Bancroft exchange in the filed tariffs of Verizon North, Inc. and to the Lansing exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, (c) offer expanded local calling to customers in the Shaftsbury exchange to the exchanges defined as the Bath, Laingsburg, Owosso, and Williamston exchanges in the filed tariffs of Verizon North, Inc., 2) implement a rate adjustment in its basic exchange rates related to the provision of such expanded local calling and, 3) block 1+ originating toll calling from the exchanges of interest to the expanded local calling area. The Commission directs Shiawassee Telephone Company to issue a notice in accordance with the Michigan Telecommunications

Act, 1991 PA 179, as amended. In addition, Verizon North, Inc., SBC Michigan, and all 1+ toll providers in the exchanges of interest must be noticed. Written and electronic comments may be filed with the Commission and must be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on January 31, 2005**. All comments should reference Case No. U-14372. Comments received in this matter will become public information.

Case No. U-14373
Wolverine Telephone Company
(amended license)

On November 30, 2004, Wolverine Telephone Company filed an application with the Michigan Public Service Commission (“Commission”) for authority to: 1) (a) offer expanded local calling to the customers in the Fostoria exchange to the exchange defined as the North Branch exchange as defined in the filed tariffs of Verizon North, Inc. (b) offer expanded local calling to the customers in the Millington exchange to the exchanges defined as the Clio/Mt. Morris, Birch Run, Frankenmuth, Vassar, and Mayville exchanges as defined in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan and to the exchanges defined as the Otisville and Columbiaville exchanges in the filed tariffs of Verizon North, Inc., (c) offer expanded local calling to customers in the Munger exchange to exchanges defined as the Fairgrove, Reese, and Saginaw exchanges in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, (d) offer expanded local calling to the customers in the Sanford exchange to the exchange defined as the Hope exchange in the filed tariffs of CenturyTel of Michigan and the exchange defined as the Coleman exchange in the filed tariffs of SBC Michigan, 2) implement a rate adjustment in its basic exchange rates related to the provision of such expanded local calling and, 3) block 1+ originating toll calling from the exchanges of interest to the expanded local calling area. The Michigan Public Service Commission directs Wolverine Telephone Company to issue a notice in accordance with the Michigan Telecommunications Act, 1991 PA 179, as amended. In addition, Verizon North, Inc., CenturyTel of Michigan and all 1+ toll providers in the exchanges of interest must be noticed. Written and electronic comments may be filed with the Commission and must be received no later than **5:00 p.m. on January 31, 2005**. All comments should reference Case No. U-14373. Comments received in this matter will become public information.

* * *

2005 REGULAR COMMISSION MEETING DATES

Month & Date	Day	Time
<u>JANUARY 25</u>	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
February 24	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
March 29	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
April 28	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
May 17	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
June 7	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
June 30	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
July 19	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
August 16	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
September 20	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
October 18	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.
November 3	Thursday	1:30 p.m.
December 20	Tuesday	1:30 p.m.

Special meetings may be scheduled, as needed, on 18 hours notice.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

Annual subscription rates (U.S. currency): single subscription: \$400; each additional subscription within the same firm: \$175. Please make checks payable to Clark Hill PLC and mail orders to Circulation Mgr., Clark Hill, PLC, 212 E. Grand River Avenue, Lansing, MI 48906-4328, or fax to (517) 318-3099. You can reach us by phone at (517) 318-3100.

Name: _____

Title: _____

Company: _____

Address: _____

City, State, Zip: _____

Phone number: _____

I prefer to receive the **MTR** by email in Acrobat Reader format.
E-mail address _____

I prefer to continue receiving the **MTR** in hard copy by mail.